

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND VALERIE GARRICK, NORTHWEST COMMUNITY UNITED CHURCH OF CHRIST
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L. B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session) and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:07)

**1-1**

REVEREND VALERIE GARRICK, Northwest Community United Church of Christ, gave the invocation.

(9:07 – 9:09)

**1-17**

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge and subsequently requested a moment of silence for the victims of the 9/11/2001 terrorist attacks.

(9:09 – 9:10)

**1-57**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Announcement – Continued

## **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that Redevelopment Agency Item IV-A would be held in abeyance.

(9:27 – 9:28)

**690**

COUNCILMAN REESE introduced eight students from Bishop Gorman High School. DINAH WALLEN, spokesperson for the group, informed that all students are in AP Government Class and were in attendance to observe the City Council proceedings.

(1:26 – 1:27)

**3-122**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, and RITA LOOMIS of the Public Works Department recognized NANCY J. PEARCE, City Engineer Division, Public Works Department, as September's Employee of the Month for taking a leadership role in learning new software, equipment, and survey methods that have made the department more efficient as well as in helping to accomplish the department's goals. Her intelligence, attitude, and knowledge of her department have allowed her to keep morale high in the office and have made City residents' experience with government pleasant.

MS. PEARCE thanked the City Council for this honor. She also thanked MS. LOOMIS, who has been her mentor, and her co-workers, who are a great group of people to work with. She said that she loves her job.

MS. LOOMIS noted that MS. PEARCE has been the shining light of the City Engineers Division. She is also the social director, interior decorator, and serves as the liaison to keep the people in the field and the office staff working harmoniously.

(9:10 – 9:13)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE SENIOR OF THE QUARTER

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he is glad that there are many citizens who volunteer and participate in the many levels of government. He acknowledged MEL HINKEN as Senior of the Quarter, who resides in Ward 6 and participates in local government to help the community to be a better place for all residents. MR. HINKEN is on the board of the Painted Desert Neighborhood Association, treasurer of the Northwest Network of Neighborhoods and the Northwest Cats, and closely monitors the quality of life issues that relate to Valley-wide neighborhoods. COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. HINKEN for all the time he devotes to his community.

MR. HINKEN thanked COUNCILMAN MACK for the recognition and indicated that he truly enjoys what he does because he loves Las Vegas.

TONY BRUGES expressed his appreciation to the City for recognizing MR. HINKEN, who is a good friend to him.

(9:13 – 9:15)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

PROCLAIMING SEPTEMBER AS ALZHEIMER'S AWARENESS MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN invited MYRA DAVIS, Executive Director, and RICHARD BLUT, Chapter President of the Alzheimer Association of Southern Nevada, to come forward and accept a proclamation recognizing September as Alzheimer's Awareness Month. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that Alzheimer's disease is a harsh disease that impacts so many people and affects approximately 30,000 people in Southern Nevada. The local chapter of the Alzheimer's Association supports families in need and all monies raised by this organization stay in the Southern Nevada community. The City believes the community should be aware of this disease and that support is available to those in need.

MS. DAVIS expressed her appreciation for the honor of being recognized. She noted that MAYOR GOODMAN is a strong Mayor. This year's walk is Mayor Goodman's Memory Walk, for which she presented MAYOR GOODMAN with a T-Shirt. She invited everyone to participate in the walk, which would be held on the 29<sup>th</sup> of September at UNLV, to support the Association in providing assistance to the victims of this terrible disease.

MR. BLUT thanked the City Council for its continued support and aiding the Alzheimer Association in creating awareness.

(9:15 – 9:17)

**1-242**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial Matters – Continued

Recognition to the Volunteer Dance Team, Silver Star Strutters

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY presented a proclamation to the Silver Star Strutters Dance Team for voluntarily performing throughout the community in adult care centers, retirement communities, and care and nursing centers in order to make seniors smile.

The Silver Star Strutters was formed in 1997 and is made up of the following dancers: DIANA GREY, CHRIS ALLEN, LLOYD BOY, JENNIE DENAUS, JANE DRUMMOND-FIORE, MIKE SCHULTZ, and PAT SCHULTZ.

(9:17 – 9:20)

**1-325**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Ceremonial Matters – Continued:

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, pronounced that a memorial procession was put together with over 70 public safety units, and he requested the Council's permission to forward a picture and videotape of that occasion to the New York Police and Fire Chiefs on behalf of the City Council and the City of Las Vegas to demonstrate the community's empathy for what they recently had to endure. MAYOR GOODMAN gave his consent and thought it was a wonderful gesture.

(9:20 – 9:21)

**1-389**

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial Matters – Continued:

Recognition to United Blood Services

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that, as a result of the events that occurred on September 11, 2001, the U.S. has become a better and stronger country. The citizens of Las Vegas admirably stepped up to assist their fellow Americans in any way possible. One of the suggestions was to donate blood. Volunteers patiently waited in line for more than three hours to donate blood.

MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the Las Vegas Chapter of United Blood Services and presented a proclamation to DANIEL WARE, Assistant Donor Care Supervisor, in recognition of the staff's hard work and as an expression of solidarity and patriotism to those Americans in need of blood. He noted that United Blood Services has been the sole provider of blood products for 19 area hospitals and has been instrumental in saving lives. Many of the employees worked numerous, stressful hours in order to boost the national blood supply levels. MR. WARE worked strenuously on September 11, 2001, and throughout the next day.

MR. WARE thanked the Council. He said it was a great feeling to see everybody come together at a time of need. He was happy United Blood Services was able to contribute with the help of the community.

(9:21 – 9:24)

**1-430**



# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

Ceremonial Matters – Continued:

Recognition of Monarch Productions

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that JOE HARRESTY, President, Monarch Promotions, was kind enough to send some patriotic shirts that he and his wife wore on a motorcycle ride and out to breakfast the following day. Everybody who saw the shirts wanted one. He was able to get 1,000 shirts donated, and those were given out in one day. Consequently, he approached the fellow Council members, who all pitched in to buy more shirts to give out.

MAYOR GOODMAN encouraged the public to obtain a shirt on the way out of the Council Chambers and to wear them as a symbol of solidarity and commitment to the victims of the atrocities that occurred on September 11, 2001.

At the request of MR. HARRESTY through correspondence, MAYOR GOODMAN recognized SERGEANT TOM WAGNER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, for organizing a candlelight vigil and fundraiser to benefit the New York City Firemen and Police Fund, as well as 28-year-old JACLYN MINALDY, owner of an advertising company, who placed a billboard at Valley View and Flamingo saying “In God We Trust – America Unyielding Freedom.”

(9:24 – 9:28)

**1-536**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 14 and 56 to 10/3/2001 and STRIKE Items 57, 58, and 59 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30))

**1-714**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of August 15, 2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30))

**1-714**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 08/01/01 - 08/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 20,514,829.43
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,336,462.37
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 16,028,651.13
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY advised that the Real Estate Committee met to review all the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Rachel Evans, 5112 Wapiti Point Court, Rachel Evans, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Melissa Henderson, 7413 Wandering Street, Melissa Henderson, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home/Preschool License, Julee Lamps, 7828 Wind Drift Road, Julee Lamps, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Child Care Home/Preschool License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License, Challenger Schools, 9900 Shiloh School Lane, Camille Wright, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License, Pentecostal Temple, aka Church of God in Christ, dba Pentecostal Temple Child Development Center, 614 Jefferson Street, Leon Smith, Pastor, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** subject to planning and fire codes and Health Department regulations - **UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, requested approval subject to planning and fire codes and Health Department regulations.

There was no further discussion.

(9:33 – 9:34)

1-833

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of change of License Holder for Preschool License, Our Lady of Las Vegas, 3046 Alta Drive, From: Dave Casaleggio, Pastor, Licensee/License Holder, To: Robert Petekiewicz, Administrator/Pastor, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of change of License Holder for Preschool License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for American Showplace Productions, LLC, National MS Society, Location: Lorenzi Park, 3333 West Washington Ave., Date: September 29-30, 2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: Cultural Event with Music, Dancing, Food & Drink/German Festival, Responsible Person in Charge: Tom Martin - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the measures taken in order to ensure appropriate security and maintenance for this event. JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, replied that the organizer has a contract with Leisure Services and at the time of application listed ten security personnel for each day, with Metro Police presence as well. The maintenance is to be handled through Leisure Services, for which a deposit was made. DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Leisure Services, concurred with MR. DiFIORE's comments. MR. DiFIORE stated that attendance is estimated at 2,000 people.

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Las Vegas Hawaiian Civic Club, Location: Lorenzi Park, 3333 West Washington Ave., Dates: September 22-23, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Pacific Islands Festival, Responsible Person in Charge: Alike Borge - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY questioned the measures taken in order to ensure appropriate security and maintenance for this event. JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, replied that the organizer has a contract with Leisure Services and at the time of application listed 17 security guards for each day. The Hawaiian Civic Club will provide five additional security guards and either Detention and Enforcement or Leisure Services will provide another five individuals for security purposes. DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Leisure Services, interjected that events of this size are always provided additional security from Detention and Metro Police. She noted that the subject event is one of the more coordinated events of its size. However, a smaller crowd is expected this year due to the issues with travel and because many of the attendees come from Hawaii.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that the City should do everything possible to promote people getting to Las Vegas from Hawaii, and that business should go on as usual.

There was no further discussion.

(9:36 – 9:40)

**1-934**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of General Manager for a Package Liquor License, Costco Wholesale Corporation, dba Costco Wholesale, 222 South Martin L. King Blvd., Kevin J. Endicott, Gen Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of General Manager for a Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations, From: Rene C. Blanchard, dba Meade Sports Bar, Rene C. Blanchard, 100%, To: Meade St. Pub, Inc., dba Meade St. Pub, 3000 Meade Ave., Robert G. Dever, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations, Family Food II, dba Family Food II, 1602 H Street, Salar Shoshani, 50%, Thamer Jarjees, 50% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 14 and 56 to 10/3/2001 and STRIKE Items 57, 58, and 59 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30))

1-714

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Bing Ji, dba Bing Ji, 3001 Alcoa Ave., Bing Ji, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Branden J. Wilson, dba Branden J. Wilson, L.M.T., 1017 North Tenaya Way, Branden J. Wilson, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Gabriele Goldberg, dba Gabriele Goldberg, 4008 Joy Glen Road, Gabriele Goldberg, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Harlene M. Ferrell, dba Harlene M. Ferrell, 7109 Caprock Circle, Harlene M. Ferrell, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Patrina McDonald, dba A Women's Retreat, 7331 West Lake Mead Blvd., #103, Patrina C. McDonald, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Archangel M. Delacruz, dba Professional Touch Massage, 1340 Torington Drive, Archangel M. Delacruz, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Mary J. Richter-Correa, dba Mellow Musing Mary Melodious Massage, 1788 Charnut Lane, Mary J. Richter-Correa, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Joan Ingino, dba Hands from the Heart, From: 6501 Vegas Drive, Unit 2033, To: 8440 West Lake Mead Blvd., #110, Joan D. Ingino, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Thomas Bogle, dba Hands On Therapeutic Massage of Las Vegas, From: 3935 Jeffrey's Street, To: 4354 Annie Oakley Drive, Thomas E. Bogle, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Amber Goodall, dba From: Amber Goodall, 2227 South Rainbow Blvd., To: Abundant Blessings Medical Massage, 9501 West Sahara Ave., #1123, Amber Goodall, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Enjoli Laurent, dba From: Enjoli Laurent, To: Thyme Out, 4032 Vahe Circle, Enjoli Laurent, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Craig A. Horner, dba Buy-Rite Appliance, From: 1060 North Rancho Drive, To: 3401 Sirius Ave., Suite 18, Craig A. Horner, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Request For Proposal Number 010103-LED, Rental of Multi-Functional Devices - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: IKON OFFICE SOLUTIONS (Estimated total amount \$1,279,800 over 3 years - Internal Service Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$1,279,800**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Information Technologies**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Internal Service Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas is proposing to rent 108 multi-functional devices to replace 92 copiers currently being rented. Current rental period expires Oct. 31, 2001. The new contract is effective Nov. 1, 2001 through Oct. 31, 2004. An RFP was issued to over 100 vendors. Seven proposals were received ranging from \$871,560 to \$1,976,400. After evaluation of proposals received and equipment offered, it was determined that Ikon Office Solutions provided, price and other factors being considered, the services that will best meet the City's needs.

POC: Annette Austin - (702)798-3366 ext. 2318

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Request For Proposal Number 010103-LED, Rental of Multi-Functional Devices to Ikon Office Solutions from November 1, 2001 through October 31, 2004 in the estimated amount of \$1,279,800.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Joinder Agreements to utilize Clark County Bid Number 4133-98, Annual Requirements Contract for Asphaltic Concrete (RC) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION and SOUTHERN NEVADA PAVING INC. (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$400,000 - Enterprise Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$400,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Field Operations**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Sanitation Enterprise Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This agreement will allow the City of Las Vegas to use Clark County Bid No. 4133-98 to provide the annual requirements for asphaltic concrete in the form of liquid and emulsified asphalt. The County Commission approved the award of Bid No. 4133-98 on March 17, 1998.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join onto or use the contracts of other local governments.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the use of Clark County Bid Number 4133-98, Annual Requirements Contract for Asphaltic Concrete (RC) awarded to Las Vegas Paving Corp. and Southern Nevada Paving Inc. in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$400,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Joinder Agreements

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of rescission of award and reaward of Bid Number 010078-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Manual and Motorized Overhead Door Maintenance - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: MODERNFOLD OF NEVADA LLC (Estimated annual amount of \$100,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$100,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On June 6, 2001, the City Council awarded Bid Number 010078-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Manual and Motorized Overhead Doors Maintenance to Specialty Doors of Nevada. On August 29, 2001, Specialty Doors of Nevada requested that award of contract be rescinded. This request is to rescind the original award and reaward this contract.

In accordance with NRS 332.065, paragraph 3, the governing body may reaward a contract to the next lowest responsive and responsible bidder. Modernfold of Nevada LLC has agreed to honor their original bid prices.

POC: Gary Sprouse - (702) 968-5290

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council rescind award and reaward Bid Number 010078-TG, Annual Requirements Contract for Manual and Motorized Overhead Doors Maintenance to Modernfold of Nevada LLC, for the period from date of award through May 31, 2002, w/4 one-year options to renew, in the estimated amount of \$100,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Finance and Business Services  
Item 29 – Bid No. 010078-TG

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

**1-763**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 010109-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Landscape Maintenance at the Water Pollution Control Facility - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: TRUGREEN LANDCARE OF NEVADA (Estimated annual amount of \$60,000 - Sanitation Enterprise Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$60,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Sanitation Enterprise Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for landscape maintenance at Environmental Division, Water Pollution Control Facility.

POC: Chris Steiner - (702) 385-4590

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010109-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Landscape Maintenance to Trugreen Landcare of Nevada from date of award through October 31, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$60,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for Calibration and Maintenance of City sewer flow monitors (DGL) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: AMERICAN SIGMA/HACH CO. (\$29,575 - Sanitation Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$29,575

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Sanitation Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for the maintenance and semiannual calibration of the City's seven (7) sewer flow monitors.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(c), additions to and repairs and maintenance of equipment which may be more efficiently added to, repaired or maintained by a certain person.

POC: John Misiti - (716) 798-5821

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the maintenance and calibration of sewer flow monitors to American Sigma/HACH Co. in the amount of \$29,575.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to purchase various capital equipment from Western Technical Services for the "Informatics for the National Heart Attack Alert Program" (contract no. N01-LM-0-3523 with the National Library of Medicine) (\$237,132 - General Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$237,132

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Fire/Training Division

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To purchase capital equipment for a telemedicine communications system (COFDM) and a microwave interconnect system at the University Medical Center . Western Technical Services has developed a complete turnkey package which will allow our department to utilize new technology for mobile and data applications. Once completed, this equipment will have the capability to send vital electronic information of a heart attack victim to UMC in order to allow the "decision to treat" from a doctor at the hospital instantaneously.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval to purchase.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Professional Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Las Vegas Valley Water District Interlocal Agreement No. 107690 for City of Las Vegas Fire Station #43 to provide water service from Torrey Pines Drive-2400 Block to the building located 200 feet west of Smoke Ranch & Torrey Pines, Application for Connection and Request for Service Fee (\$47,699 - Fire Initiative 2000 Bonds) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$47,699**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue/Suppression**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Fire Initiative 2000 Bonds**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas is building a new Fire Station # 43. The interlocal agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District and connection fee are to provide water service to the building.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval of the Las Vegas Valley Water District Interlocal Agreement and Application for Connection fee.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Interlocal Agreement for City of Las Vegas Fire Station #43
2. Las Vegas Valley Water District Application for Connection and Request for Service

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the First Amendment to the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Revolving Loan Fund Loan Agreement with Rick Holman, dba Quality Garage Doors, to extend loan from three to ten years - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On January 12, 1998, the City entered into a loan agreement with Rick Holman to provide a loan in the amount of \$75,000 in CDBG Revolving Loan Funds. The term of this Agreement was for 36 months, so that the City could review the loan performance and determine if the loan should be extended to a full, ten-year period. This action extends the loan from a three to a ten year period.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends that the First Amendment to the CDBG Revolving Loan Fund Agreement for Rick Holman be approved as stipulated herein.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

First Amendment To Community Development Block Grant Revolving Loan Fund ("CDBG/RLF") Loan Agreement in the Amount of \$75,000 with Rick Holman, DBA Quality Garage Doors

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of allocating \$45,000 of Home Investment Partnerships Program Grant (HOME) to Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County (EOB) to design, construct and connect lateral water connections to 1388 Blankenship, 810 and 820 Hassel Avenue, and 807 and 817 Lawry Avenue in Vegas Heights for homes being built for affordable home ownership - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount: \$45,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: HOME****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On March 21, 2001, the City Council approved an agreement with Economic Development Board of Clark County as the developer for the Vegas Heights Single Family Home Mortgage Buy Down Assistance Project Phase II, with an expenditure of federal HOME funds in the amount of \$210,000 for the buy down assistance. The agreement included the sale of seven lots to EOB for the construction of the homes. Construction is now under way of the first three houses. It has been learned that 5 of the 7 lots do not have lateral water connections and that they must be designed to meet Las Vegas Water District standards and constructed to connect the houses to the main water lines. The estimated cost is \$9,000 per lot (\$3,500 for design and \$5,500 for construction and connection).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of a \$45,000 HOME grant to Economic Opportunity Board to design and construct lateral water lines for the above five houses.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 35 – Approval of allocating \$45,000 of Home Investment Partnerships Program Grant to Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County to design, construct and connect lateral water connections to 1388 Blankenship, 810 and 820 Hassel Avenue, and 807 and 817 Lawry Avenue in Vegas Heights for homes being built for affordable home ownership

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

**1-763**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to file an amendment to Right-of-Way Grant No. N-73982 with the Bureau of Land Management for drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the Northwest Quarter (NW 1/4) of Section 8, T20S, R60E, M.D.M., generally located west of Campbell Road and south of Alexander Road - 138-08-101-015 - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Quitclaim Deed to the Nevada Department of Transportation for a portion of the Southwest Quarter (SW 1/4) of Section 27, T19S, R60E, M.D.M., transferring Desert Breeze Avenue right-of-way between old Buffalo Drive and realigned Buffalo Drive, north of Ann Road 125-27-401-008 - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sanitary Sewer Refunding Agreement with the Clark County School District for the Marshall C. Darnell Elementary School (\$30,875.95 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack) and County

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$30,875.95

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Sanitation Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Clark County School District is developing property known as "Marshall C. Darnell Elementary School". This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over-sizing costs for the Tropical Parkway sewer from the Durango Drive to Park Street. This agreement also includes \$550,984.85 in extension costs from future reimbursable connection fees. The basis for the over-sizing and extension costs are explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$30,875.95.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Sewer Refunding Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract No. 374 between the Cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas, and Henderson and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada, for Nevada Alliance for Quality Transportation Construction (NAQTC) certification testing for Entity employed technicians (\$75,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$75,000**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Interlocal Contract #374 between Clark County, Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada and the cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas and Henderson applies to certification testing for Entity employed technicians that will be involved in Entity contract testing. The Regional Transportation Commission agrees to provide initial performance testing up to an amount not to exceed \$75,000 for all Entities.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Contract #374

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract No. 381 between Clark County Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada and the cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas and Henderson for Offsite Improvement Adjacent to Regional Flood Control District Detention Basin Projects for Fiscal Year 2001-2002 (\$500,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$500,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Interlocal Contract #381 between Clark County, Regional Transportation Commission and the cities of Las Vegas, North Las Vegas and Henderson applies to improvements adjacent to and associated with construction of Clark County Regional Flood Control District Detention Basin Projects. The basic improvements will typically include paving, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, streetlights and drainage facilities, if necessary, and are limited to only one-half of the street. The total for all entities shall not exceed \$500,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Contract #381

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Agreement #107046 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for Special Improvement District No. 1478, Vegas Drive/Owens Avenue - Rancho Drive to Interstate 15 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas desires to install new and modify existing water facilities.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement #107046

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Agreement #107174 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for the Rainbow Boulevard Improvements - Southern Section, Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road - Wards 1, 2, 4 and 6 (M. McDonald, L.B. McDonald, Brown and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City intends to construct full road improvements along Rainbow Boulevard from Silverstream Avenue to Smoke Ranch Road. Several water lines will need to be lowered due to conflicts with the proposed storm drain system. This agreement allows the City's contractor to perform this work which will be done as part of the road improvements.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement #107174

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from KB Home Nevada, Incorporated, on behalf of Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, LLC, owner (generally bound by Jones Boulevard, Iron Mountain Ranch Road, Decatur Boulevard, and Whispering Sands Drive) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachments will consist of meandering sidewalks, trees and shrubs of various heights and sizes, rock ground cover, irrigation systems, and median landscaping in and around the perimeter of the Iron Mountain Ranch development to satisfy conditions of Z-16-98 and the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (area bound by Jones Boulevard, Iron Mountain Ranch Road, Decatur Boulevard, and Whispering Sands Drive)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Agreement #107689 with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for water service at Garehime Heights Park (\$114,780 - Park Capital Improvement Project Funds) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$114,780**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/Engineering Integration**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Park CIP Funds**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A necessary part of this project is the installation of water service. Before the Las Vegas Valley Water District will sign the service connection documents and allow the City to install the water service, the Interlocal Agreement with conditional water commitment must be executed and the required fees paid.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement #107689

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-109-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Sixth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in San Marcos - Unit One.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-109-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-110-2001** - Approval of a Resolution approving the Sixth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in San Marcos - Unit One.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-110-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-111-2001** - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the City Engineer to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll re: Special Improvement District No. 1470 - Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95). (\$343,272.05 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$343,272.05

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, water main, and water and sewer laterals along Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-111-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-112-2001** - Approval of a Resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the final assessment roll will be heard for Special Improvement District No. 1470 – Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95) (\$343,272.05 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$343,272.05

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, water main, and water and sewer laterals along Craig Road (Buffalo Drive to US-95).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-112-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to **APPROVE** Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving

**Items 8, 10 & 11:** **APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

**Item 14:** **ABEYANCE** to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-113-2001** - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the City Engineer to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll re: Special Improvement District No. 1471 - Jones Boulevard (Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway) (\$650,269.26 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$650,269.26

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, and sewer laterals. Beginning at the northeast right-of-way line of Rancho Drive at Jones Boulevard and proceeding north to the southerly right-of-way line of Centennial Parkway.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-113-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-114-2001** - Approval of a Resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the final assessment roll will be heard for Special Improvement District No. 1471 – Jones Boulevard (Rancho Drive to Centennial Parkway) (\$650,269.26 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$650,269.26

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, and sewer laterals. Beginning at the northeast right-of-way line of Rancho Drive at Jones Boulevard and proceeding north to the southerly right-of-way line of Centennial Parkway.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-114-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-115-2001** - Approval of a Resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute and submit an application to the Secretary of the U.S. Dept. of the Interior National Park Service to request the transfer of the Federal Building and Post Office located at 301 E. Stewart Avenue (APN# 139-34-501-002) to the City of Las Vegas - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Federal Building and Post Office (FB-PO) has been determined surplus Government property by the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA). Public bodies may request for the transfer of surplus Government property at a 100% discount of fair market value from the GSA through the U.S. Dept. of the Interior National Park Service (DOI-NPS) Historic Surplus Property Program. As part of the application to request for the transfer of the FB-PO through the DOI-NPS Historic Surplus Property Program, a Resolution of Authority to execute and submit an application to the Secretary of the DOI-NPS must be attached.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Resolution No. R-115-2001
3. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Business Development  
Item 51 – R-115-2001

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

**1-763**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a First Amendment to the Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Neon Museum for Parcel Numbers 139-27-812-040 and -043, located in the vicinity of McWilliams Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard North - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This amendment revises the current Leases between the City & the Neon Museum for the above referenced parcels. The amendment will extend the term to 50 years for each parcel. Currently, the Museum has a temporary Lease on the -040 parcel (0.66 acres) & a 25-year Lease on the -043 parcel (1.12 acres). The Museum intends to build an outdoor museum (Neon Museum Boneyard & Visitors Center) & will feature a historic collection of vintage neon signs. YESCO has commenced moving signs to the -040 parcel to serve as the temporary boneyard. Friedmutter Design has provided pro bono architectural design services.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 9/17/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

First Amendment to the Lease Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Right-of-Way Grant to Sprint Telephone for telephone lines to service the cellular site located at Lorenzi Park, 3333 West Washington Avenue - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In July 2001 the City Council approved a lease contract with Verizon to construct a cell tower at Lorenzi Park. In August 2001 the City Council approved the Site Development Plan for the cell site. The Right-of-Way Grant will provide the appropriate service to the cell tower to make it operational.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 9/17/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Right of Way Grant
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Temporary Easement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction of water lines to service the City's Fitzgerald Tot Lot Park, located at H Street and Jackson Avenue, identified as Parcel Number 139-27-110-145 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to have water service to the park, the City is required to grant a Temporary Easement to LVVWD for rights-of-way to construct new water lines to replace the previous 40 year old lines that are in disrepair and could be a potential for a health risk to the public. There is no cost to the City for the line replacement.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 9/17/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Temporary Easement
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Temporary Easement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction of water lines to service City property, known as Variety Day Care, located at 990 D Street, identified as Parcel Number 139-27-211-060 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to have water service to the park, the City is required to grant a Temporary Easement to LVVWD for rights-of-way to construct new water lines to replace the previous 40 year old lines that are in disrepair and could be a potential for a health risk to the public. There is no cost to the City for the line replacement.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 9/17/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Temporary Easement
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3 – 7, 9, 12, 13, and 15 – 55 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 28 because he may have a business relationship with one of the principals in either Las Vegas Paving or Southern Nevada Paving**

**Items 8, 10 & 11: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**Item 14: ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 under separate action (see individual item)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:31 – 9:33)

1-763

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding the Conceptual Design Drawings of a parking garage completed by Walker Parking Consultants on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street (approximately \$8,800 - City of Las Vegas Parking Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** Approximately \$8,800

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Business Development

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** City of Las Vegas Parking Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In June 2001, the Office of Business Development commissioned Walker Parking Consultants to do conceptual design drawings for the construction of a parking garage on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street. The Study was commissioned in an effort to meet the parking needs created by the construction of the Regional Justice Center.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval and acceptance of the conceptual design drawings for a possible parking garage on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street and direct staff accordingly.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 14 and 56 to 10/3/2001 and STRIKE Items 57, 58, and 59 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30))

1-714

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved September 20, 2000 subject to one year review: Dawn Marie Casas, 1900 East Tropicana Avenue #309, Las Vegas, NV 89119

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 14 and 56 to 10/3/2001 and STRIKE Items 57, 58, and 59 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30)

**1-714**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Laura Christine Menchen, 8429 Shady Pines, Las Vegas, NV 89143

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 14 and 56 to 10/3/2001 and STRIKE Items 57, 58, and 59 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30))

**1-714**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Michelle Topel, 4400 South Jones Boulevard #3102, Las Vegas, NV 89103

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Hold in ABEYANCE Items 14 and 56 to 10/3/2001 and STRIKE Items 57, 58, and 59 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:28 – 9:30))

**1-714**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Department regulations, Costco Wholesale Corporation, dba Costco Wholesale #685, 801 South Pavilion Center Drive, James D. Sinegal, Dir, CEO, Pres, Jeffrey H. Brotman, Dir, Harold E. Kaplan, VP, Treas, - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Department regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Scott Eaton, Esq.

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

The general manager of Costco Wholesale was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the applicant met all the criteria for a temporary approval. He noted that this is the one hundred thousandth application to be processed by the City of Las Vegas.

There was no further discussion.

(9:40 – 9:43)

**1-1089**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kay L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #125 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00**) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01 to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's Express #6061, 4800 West Craig Road, **(NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #125 - Special Use Permit #U-0031-00) - Ward 6 (Mack)**

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01 to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes and Health Dept. regulations, The Pyramids, LLC, dba The Pyramids Café a Mediterranean Restaurant, 9350 West Sahara Ave., Suite 150, Hany A. Zaky, Mmbr, 50%, Kimberly A. Zaky, Mmbr, 50%, (**NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #131 - Special Use Permit # U-0111-01**) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

KIM ZAKY, 7901 Avianna Pines, the applicant, was present.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 131 [U-0111-01] and Item 63 was held under Item 131.

(4:30 – 4:32)

**5-341**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of Stockholder for a Slot Operator Gaming License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Southwest Gaming Services, Inc., dba Southwest Gaming Services, 5110 South Valley View Blvd., The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of Stockholder for a Slot Operator Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Kirk D. Hendrick

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his longtime friendship with MR. PETTERSON would not affect his ability to vote on this matter.

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY KIRK HENDRICK, Law Firm of Jones-Vargas, was present, accompanied by BRAD PETTERSON, President, Southwest Gaming Services.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended approval, contingent upon approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1184

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Big Daddy's Oil, LLC, dba Oakey AM/PM, 1619 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Francois Alvandi, Mgr, Mmbr, 42.5%, Robert Alvandi, Mmbr, 28.75%, Romeo Alvandi, Mmbr, 28.75% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Francois Alvandi

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

FRANCOIS ALVANDI, 3051 Bel Aire, appeared representing the application. He explained that he wanted the matter expedited in order to avoid double fees with United Coin.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended approval, contingent upon approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

**1-1239**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Big Daddy's Oil, LLC, dba Charleston AM/PM, 3885 East Charleston Blvd., Francois Alvandi, Mgr, Mmbr, 42.5%, Robert Alvandi, Mmbr, 28.75%, Romeo Alvandi, Mmbr, 28.75% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Francois Alvandi

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

FRANCOIS ALVANDI, 3051 Bel Aire, appeared representing the application.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, recommended approval, contingent upon approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(9:45 – 9:46)

**1-1285**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on Short-Term Mobile Food Vendor Contracts in City of Las Vegas parks and recreational sites -All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The issue of competition with non-profit sports leagues by licensed and permitted Mobile Food Vendors at City recreational sites has caused a moratorium on the issuance of the permits. Staff, as directed, has returned the issue to the City Council agenda for discussion and possible resolution

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
  2. Policies and Procedures for Short-Term Mobile Food Vendors
  3. Short-Term Vendor Contract
  4. Aerial Photographs of Mobile Food Vendor Locations
- Submitted at the meeting: comments from Mr. McGowan

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED** the policy change as submitted, amending the policy to prohibit any contracts from being sublet unless a satisfactory agreement has been entered into with the various sport leagues for vending, and with a one-year review (9-18-2002) - **UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC disclosed that he has completely disqualified himself from this matter because his brother is a mobile food vendor.

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 67 – Discussion and possible action on Short-Term Mobile Food Vendor Contracts in City of Las Vegas parks and recreational sites

APPEARANCES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Leisure Services Department

BEN SPRAGUE, Little League Baseball

KATHY BAKER, Western Little League

MIKE AKER, 172 Marion Drive, Little League Baseball Administrator

JOSHUA FEIRO

ALBERT HALEY

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

DEBBIE VIRGOS, 6161 Jo Marcy Drive, Lone Mountain Little League Treasurer

TOM McGOWAN, 720 South Casino Center Boulevard, #5

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN to draft a new policy and submit it in writing.

(9:46 – 11:35)

**1-1312**

**RECESS: 10:29 – 11:28 A.M.**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on naming a park at Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On July 11, 2001, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Police Memorial Park for the park site adjacent to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Training Center known as Metro Park site located at Cheyenne Avenue and Jensen Street. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the name to City Council

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, stated that the consensus of all those involved is to name the park Police Memorial Park.

COUNCILMAN BROWN requested the matter be held in abeyance for two weeks because he received a letter regarding the naming of the park that needs to be addressed by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.

There was no further discussion.

(11:35 – 11:36)  
**1-3556**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the MASH Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$191,403**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 23, 1998, City Council approved the use of General Fund monies in the amount of \$40,000 to assist S.V.D.P. Management, Inc. in providing 3 months of temporary winter shelter at the MASH Village site, with the understanding that the City would support the temporary shelter for three successive winters, or through March of 2001.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

None

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
  2. Clark County Board of Commissioners Agenda Item No. 154
- Submitted after the meeting: comments from Mr. McGowan

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because he is an Advisory Board member of M.A.S.H. Village**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services  
BILL ARENT  
RUTH BRULAND, Executive Director, M.A.S.H. Village  
STEVE HOUCHENS, Deputy City Manager

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 69 – Discussion an possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the MASH Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas

APPEARANCES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, 720 S. Casino Center Boulevard, No. 5

BROTHER DAVE BUER

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19<sup>th</sup> Street

PATRICIA MARTINELLI PRICE

JOSEPHINE PULITO

DAN CONTRERAS

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

LISA LITCHFIELD

(11:36 – 12:15)

**1-3635/2-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Presentation, discussion and possible action on a Neighborhood Traffic Management Program Manual - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Traffic Engineering Division received a grant from the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles and Public Safety to hire a consultant to rewrite and redesign the city's 1995 Neighborhood Traffic Management Program manual. This manual will be used by staff and neighborhood groups in developing traffic calming programs for their neighborhoods. The consultant conducted research on what traffic control devices other cities use, received input from neighborhood residents and businesses and from the technical advisory committee.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Neighborhood Traffic Management Program Manual

**MOTION:**

**REESE – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, requested the matter be held in abeyance to allow him to meet with the individual Council members for briefings.

There was no further discussion.

(12:15 – 12:17)

**2-1295**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - PARK & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMISSION – Jack Doyle,  
Term Expiration 4-1-2004 (Deceased)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

I have been advised by the Director of the Department of Leisure Services that Mr. Jack Doyle, a valued member of the Park & Recreation Advisory Commission since April 1, 1992, recently passed away. Mr. Doyle's seat is now regrettably vacant and it will be necessary to fill his unexpired term. At the Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, Councilman Mack abeyed this item until their September 19, 2001 Meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. It will be necessary to fill Mr. Doyle's unexpired term which expires April 1, 2004.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Dr. Barbara Jackson regarding the vacancy
2. Current Listing & Authority Park & Recreation Advisory Commission
3. Commission Interest Form –Vernell Paul McNeal (Ward 3)

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD - ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:17)

2-1

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - CIVIL SERVICE BOARD OF TRUSTEES – Lou Johnson - Term Expires 9-6-2001 (Resigned)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$50.00 per meeting

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Human Resources

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Board of Civil Service Trustees is comprised of 5 members appointed by the City Council. Members of this board must be City residents and attendance is compensatory. Vice Chairman Lou Johnson has resigned and under the authority of this Board, appointees do not have to fill unexpired terms. The individual appointed to fill this vacancy will be eligible for a full four-year term. At the Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, Mayor Goodman abeyed this item until their September 19, 2001 Meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the City Council. A new member will need to be appointed to fill this seat.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Director of Human Resources indicating Mr. Johnson's resignation with recommendation of Ms. Johan Aliseo
2. Current listing and Authority-Board of Civil Service Trustees
3. Board Interest Forms: Don M. Rodriguez, Simhan Iyengar, Joseph V. Rajchel, and William H. Wozniak

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – Motion to APPOINT Johan Aliseo, 5277 Crooked Valley Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: A subsequent motion by Councilman Reese for reconsideration rescinded Councilman M. McDonald's previous motion for abeyance to 10/3/2001. Both motions carried unanimously.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Boards & Commissions  
Item 72 – Civil Service Board of Trustees

**MINUTES:**

The matter was brought back for consideration after it was held in abeyance because CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources, indicated that an appointment was necessary so that the Civil Service Board could meet. She recommended the appointment of JOHAN ALISEO.

There was no further discussion.

(12:17 – 12:18/12:31 – 12:32)

**2-1371/2-1900**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION – Mark Paris, Term Expiration 3/24/2001

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Historic Preservation Commission is comprised of members filling nine different categories. Mr. Paris represented Category 3, an “Experienced in Building Construction” member. He did not wish to be reappointed at the conclusion of his term so it will be necessary to appoint a new Category 3 member.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Commission requires appointment by the City Council. Through our Department of Planning and Development, the Historic Preservation Commission is recommending that H. Richard Cuppett be appointed to fill this Category 3 seat.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Deputy Director of Planning & Development with Commission recommendation and resume for H. Richard Cuppett
2. Board Interest Forms – Robin Mercer, Renn Reed, Elaine C. McNamara
3. Current listing and Authority-Historic Preservation Committee

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPOINT H. RICHARD CUPPETT, 2601 Sun Reef Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MR. PARIS for his service.

There was no further discussion.

(12:18)  
2-1386



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:

SENIOR CITIZENS ADVISORY BOARD – Alberta Allen – Term Expiration 6-2003 (Moved Out of State)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Senior Citizens Advisory Board was created upon Council adoption of Ordinance 5206 on April 19, 2000. This new Board consists of eight members appointed by the City Council. Each of the six members of Council has one coterminous appointment with the appointee residing in the respective member of Council's Ward; the Mayor has two coterminous appointments with members residing in two different Wards. Members may serve for an uninterrupted period not longer than eight years (two terms). Presently, one of the Mayor's representatives, Alberta Allen (Ward 2), has moved out of state. The Mayor's second representative lives in Ward 5.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Appointment of a new representative by Mayor Goodman from Wards 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6, with the term of office to expire June 2003.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Current Listing & Authority - Senior Citizens' Advisory Board
2. Board Interest Forms: Howard J. Freas (Ward 6), Barbara A. Fraser (Ward 4), Gil Geurin (Ward 5), Margrette Makram (Ward 2), Dan W. Carter, Sr. (Ward 4)

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:18 – 12:19)  
2-1415

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-79** – (original language) Amends the Zoning Code to establish minimum development size requirements for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. (amended language) Amends the Zoning Code to establish a specific zoning district standard for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code currently allows new motor vehicle sales as a conditional use. This bill will amend the Code to add an additional condition for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. The additional condition establishes a minimum development size requirement of at least fifty acres, to include at least five new car dealerships.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Bill No. 2001-79 - First Amendment
2. Jim Veltman letter submitted at 8/13/2001 Recommending Committee meeting

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a Second Amendment as Ordinance No. 5358 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because he has an outstanding loan with Joe Scala who owns the property in the GC/TC of Centennial Hills and this ordinance might be beneficial to him, GOODMAN abstaining because his law office was involved in discussions concerning this matter with certain individuals involved, and M. McDONALD abstaining because his attorneys represent applicants for auto dealerships in Centennial Hills**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Recommending Committee  
Item 75 – Bill No. 2001-79

**MINUTES:**

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that there is a moratorium in place through November 1, 2001, to accomplish the goal of correcting the defect in the law that allows new motor vehicle sales in the Centennial Hills area, other than Town Center. The subject Bill will restrict the sales of new motor vehicles in the GC/TC land designation in Town Center and prohibit applications for the sale of new motor vehicles on C-2 zoning designations along Rancho within Centennial Hills. A companion item to this item will be presented to the Council in October that will give the Council the opportunity to expand the amount of land available for new car dealerships in Centennial Hills.

Finally, he suggested a second amendment to strike the words “zoning district” on Line 8 and be replaced with the words “land use designation.”

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that C-2 zoning designations would not change and only that new vehicle sales would not be permitted along the Rancho Corridor.

There was no further discussion.

(12:19 – 12:23)

**2-1432**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-80** – Annexation No. A-0013-01(A) – Property Location: On the east side of Smith Street approximately 385 feet south of Vegas Drive; Petitioned By: Katherine Anne Kauder; Acreage: 0.47 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Smith Street approximately 365 feet south of Vegas Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. A single family dwelling has been constructed on the property. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 28, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-80 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5359 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:23)

**2-1573**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-81** – Annexation No. A-0014-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Torrey Pines Drive and Buckaroo Avenue; Petitioned By: Jerry E. Ruley; Acreage: 0.79 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Torrey Pines Drive and Buckaroo Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. A single family dwelling has been constructed on the property. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 28, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

**Committee:** Council members Weekly and Reese; **First Reading** – 8/15/2001; **First Publication** – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-81 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5360 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:23 – 12:24)

**2-1603**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2001-82** – Annexation No. A-0015-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Vegas Drive and Mountain Trail; Petitioned By: Bobby and Suzanne Brooks (previous owners); Present Owner: Patricia Smith; Acreage: 0.74 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Vegas Drive and Mountain Trail. The annexation is at the request of the previous owners in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. A single family dwelling has been constructed on the property. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 28, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-82 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5361 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:24)

2-1622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-84** – Adopts the Public Safety Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan, with the understanding that certain new and updated elements would be added to the Plan within the following months. This bill will adopt the Public Safety Element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-84 and Incorporated Public Safety Element of Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5362 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(12:24 – 12:25)

**2-1644**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-85** – Requires a distance separation of more than 1000 feet between a sexually oriented business and a teen dance center. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will require a distance separation of more than 1000 feet between a sexually oriented business and a teen dance center. Because of the incompatibility of the uses, the requirement will apply to a proposed teen dance center as well as to a proposed sexually oriented business.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-85

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5363 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD clarified that the subject Bill would not affect any of the existing clubs.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Recommending Committee  
Item 80 – Bill No. 2001-85

**MINUTES - Continued:**

COUNCILMAN REESE asked if the application for a teen dance center in his Ward would be affected. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC replied that it would not be affected because it was previously approved.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD verified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that the distance requirement would apply to both a proposed teen dance center as well as to a proposed sexually oriented business.

ATTORNEY RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing the Board Room, clarified with CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC that the subject Bill would not impact the permanent application and the development of the two buildings as long as the temporary license is in effect.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED recommended a First Amendment eliminating the 500-foot distance requirement relating to teen dance centers in the Business Licensing Code.

There was no further discussion.

(12:25 – 12:29)

**2-1661**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-83** – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill was held in abeyance to the 10/1/2001 Recommending Committee meeting by the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

10/3/2001 Recommending Committee

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-86** – Annexation No. A-0009-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of O'Hare Road, east of the Fort Apache Road alignment; Petitioned By: Barbara Singleton Trust, et al; Acreage: 13.68 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of O'Hare Road, east of the Fort Apache Road alignment. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting as a First Amendment pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

10/3/2001 Recommending Committee

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-87** – Annexation No. A-0010-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Love Family Trust; Acreage: 10.25 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

10/3/2001 Recommending Committee

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-88** – Annexation No. A-0043-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Horse Drive, approximately 330 feet east of El Capitan Way; Petitioned By: Shriner's Childrens Hospital, et al; Acreage: 10.32 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Horse Drive, approximately 330 feet east of El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

10/3/2001 Recommending Committee

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-89** – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to I-15) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$216,082.77

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, median islands with left turn lanes and/or continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 10/3/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 9/5/2001; First Publication – 9/21/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

10/3/2001 Recommending Committee

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-90** – Annexation No. A-0032-01(A) – Property Location: Near the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive; Petitioned By: Roadrunner Properties, LLC, et al; Acreage: 15.50 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located near the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 26, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-90 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

10/1/2001 Recommending Committee

10/3/2001 Council Agenda

There was no discussion.

(12:29 – 12:32)

**2-1826**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-91** – Annexation No. A-0033-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of O'Hare Avenue approximately 220 feet east of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: O'Hare Partners, et al; Acreage: 6.87 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of O'Hare Avenue approximately 220 feet east of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 26, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-91 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

10/1/2001 Recommending Committee

10/3/2001 Council Agenda

There was no discussion.

(12:29 – 12:32)

**2-1826**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-92** – Annexation No. A-0037-01(A) – Property Location: On the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive; Petitioned By: Vincent Magliulo; Acreage: 2.58 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of El Capitan Way and Grand Teton Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 26, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-92 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

10/1/2001 Recommending Committee

10/3/2001 Council Agenda

There was no discussion.

(12:29 – 12:32)

2-1826

**THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:32 P.M.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01 to 10/3/01] – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

Item Nos. 109, 110, and 111 were requested by the applicant to be held in abeyance. COUNCILMAN MACK advised that there were several people in attendance who requested to speak on these items. Consequently, all three items were pulled forward for discussion. See individual items for actions taken and discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked to hold Item 123 once again. He is currently working with the applicant and wishes to resolve the billboard issue with the landowner.

There was no further discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of dangerous building located at 1004 MacFarlane Street. PROPERTY OWNER: BARBARA NADOLYN AND MELVIN L. WILLIAMS - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,996.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding the vacant house, removing all trash and debris, dead vegetation and posting a "No trespassing" sign on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,996.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

**MOTION:**

**McDONALD – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with REESE abstaining because this property is in his ward and located next door to his residence.**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 90 – 1004 MacFarlane Street

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, explained that a complaint was received on this vacant house. When no corrective action was taken, K.O. Construction was hired to abate the problem by securing and boarding the house and posting No Trespassing signs. Trash, debris and dead vegetation were removed from the property. MR. SEMENZA recommended City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,996.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked about the status of the study on boarded-up houses. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY responded that submission to the City Council is contingent upon the outcome of an ICMA Presentation. He stressed that there are certain limitations as far as structures are concerned and the City cannot just arbitrarily demolish buildings.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD advised MR. FARLOW that it is possible for himself and other residents in his community to file a Class Action Lawsuit indicating how these abandoned properties devalue their neighborhood. MR. FARLOW commented that he would prefer to see these home refurbished and made available to homeless individuals with the stipulation that they care and maintain the property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:35 – 1:39)

**3-473**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at corner of Hidden Desert Way and Tully Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: PETER A. ASCANI, JR. - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,288.50☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing the high weeds/vegetation from the vacant parcel (primarily around the perimeter). The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,288.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Copy of Letter from A M Commercial Real Estate Co. dated 9/18/2001
9. Copy of Letter from RE/MAX Advantage dated 9/18/2001
10. 27 Photographs of subject parcel and surrounding parcels submitted by Mr. Ascani

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services and the deduction of \$700 from the total expense – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 91 – Hidden Desert Way and Tully Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O.Construction to abate the problem. The property was secured, boarded and cleaned, and No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,288.50 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

Property owner PETER ASCANI, who resides in New Orleans, Louisiana, stated that he hired CRAIG KALIA to clean up the property. He explained that he released the payment to MR. KALIA only after he had received confirmation from RE/MAX Advantage that the job had been completed. When he called MR. SEMENZA to look at the property, he was told that there was a pile of trash in the center of the property. MR. ASCANI argued that if the property was not properly cleaned, MR. SEMENZA should have informed him of such and he would not have released the payment. MR. ASCANI also disputed the total amount charged by K.O. Construction because he had contracted someone to abate the problem, yet the original cleanup charge of \$1,995 remained the same. MR. SEMENZA explained that bids are sent out to six different contractors and only two responded, K. O. Construction being the lowest bidder.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, expressed his concern with property owners who do not maintain their property. He stated that regardless of whether they live in the City or whether they choose to live in another state, it is their responsibility as property owners.

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that he had received another complaint regarding MR. ASCANI'S property and suggested he consider installing a fence or extending the walls around the property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:39 – 2:00)

**3-473**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 519 W. Van Buren Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: TRUSTEE CLARK COUNTY TREASURER C/O HAYWARD SPARKS - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,205.73☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by removing all scattered litter, dead vegetation, trimmings, tires and debris from this vacant parcel. Also "No trespassing and No dumping" signs were posted at each end of the vacant lot. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,205.73 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

**MOTION:****WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 92 – 519 W. Van Buren Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. It was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The property was secured, boarded and cleaned, and No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,205.73 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:00 – 2:02)

**3-1325**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

***CONSENT AGENDA***

NO ITEMS

***DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS***

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING  
**93 ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0016-01 - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0016-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
**94 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0041-01 - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0016-01 AND Z-0041-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
**95 ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0045-01 - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0016-01, Z-0041-01 AND V-0045-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
**96 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0089-01 - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**  
**97 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0090-01 - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**  
**98 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0091-01 - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0016-01, Z-0041-01, V-0045-01, U-0089-01, U-0090-01 AND U-0091-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
**99 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0041-01(1) - Tommy J. and Barbara J. Terry Trust**

***NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.:***

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING  
**100 U-0067-01 - Frank Noyes on behalf of Marina Nimalie Senevirante**

APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION  
**101 ABEYANCE ITEM - DB-0006-01 - ARC Investments, Inc.**

REVIEW OF CONDITION  
**102 Z-0032-85(14) - Santa Fe Station, Inc.**

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of September 19, 2001

- MASTER SIGN PLAN RELATED TO Z-0032-85(14)  
**103 MSP-0008-01** - Santa Fe Station, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW  
**104 Z-0068-85(57)** - Northwest Professional Development, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING  
**105 SD-0044-01** - Ovsep and Vardan Pilafdzhyan  
**106 Z-0095-98(5)** - Conquistador Plaza, Limited Liability Company
- MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING  
**107 Z-0100-97(5)** - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0100-97(5) - PUBLIC HEARING  
**108 Z-0100-97(6)** - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of Chelsea Property Group, Inc.
- MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING  
**109 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0016-98(4)** - William Lyon Homes
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) - PUBLIC HEARING  
**110 ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0011-01** - William Lyon Homes
- REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) AND GPA-0011-01 - PUBLIC HEARING  
**111 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0025-01** - William Lyon Homes
- MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING  
**112 ABEYANCE ITEM - MSP-0002-01** - Rome 13.75, Limited Liability Company
- AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER PLAN OF STREETS & HIGHWAYS - PUBLIC HEARING  
**113 MSH-0003-01** - City of Las Vegas
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING  
**114 VAC-0018-01** - City of Las Vegas  
**115 VAC-0021-01** - Habitat for Humanity

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of September 19, 2001

## REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 116 **V-0014-00(1)** - Buffalo Canyon, Limited Liability Company
- 117 **V-0031-00(1)** - Wilshire Plaza Development Company

## REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 118 **U-0042-98(2)** - Rainbow Sahara Center II on behalf of Rose Bowe

## VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 119 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0046-01** - Roesener and Wegner-Roesener Trust
- 120 **V-0057-01** - Vegas Star, Inc.
- 121 **V-0060-01** - Megaloni, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of S.B.A. Development, Inc.

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0060-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 122 **Z-0048-01(1)** - Megaloni, Limited Liability Company, et al on behalf of S.B.A. Development, Inc.

## REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 123 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0148-89(3)** - Hooper Industries, Limited on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising
- 124 **118 U-0077-00(1)** - Wilshire Plaza Development Company

## SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 125 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0031-00** - American Store Properties, Inc.
- 126 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0034-01** - T.J.P. Nevada, Limited Partnership on behalf of Seiler, Inc.
- 127 **U-0105-01** - Fremont West, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Antonio and Evelia Guillen
- 128 **U-0106-01** - Toll Brothers
- 129 **U-0107-01** - Yehuda Gat and Yehuda Levi
- 130 **U-0110-01** - GGP Ivanhoe II, Inc. on behalf of Cingular Wireless
- 131 **U-0111-01** - Village Square, Limited Liability Company on behalf of the Pyramids, Limited Liability Company
- 132 **U-0112-01** - James A. and Charmaine Black Family Trust, et al
- 133 **U-0113-01** - Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of September 19, 2001

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0113-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 134 **SD-0050-01** - Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 135 **Z-0050-01** - Shearing Family Trust, et al on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0050-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **V-0055-01** - Shearing Family Trust, et al on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0050-01 AND V-0055-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **Z-0050-01(1)** - Shearing Family Trust, et al on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 **Z-0054-01** - City of Las Vegas
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLANT REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0054-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 139 **Z-0054-01(1)** - City of Las Vegas
- REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING
- 140 **Z-0090-95(5) and Z-0036-97(2)** - Becker & Sons
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 141 **GPA-0029-01** - City Parkway IV, Inc.
- MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN RELATED TO GPA-0029-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 142 **Z-0100-97(4)** - City Parkway IV, Inc.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -  
**GPA-0016-01 - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** - Request to amend the Southeast Sector Map of the General Plan FROM: M (Medium Density Residential) TO: SC (Service Commercial) and LI/R (Light Industrial/Research) on 4.09 Acres on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN's: 140-30-601-010 and 011), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for LI/R (Light Industrial/Research), and APPROVAL of an amended request for SC (Service Commercial) on the entire parcel. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) WITHDREW WITHOUT PREJUDICE the request to change the property to LI/R (Light Industrial/Research), and recommends APPROVAL of the request to change the property to SC (Service Commercial) (**NOTE: The chicken processing portion of the application was withdrawn by the applicant at the Planning Commission hearing**)

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**8**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for LI/R (Light Industrial/Research); APPROVAL of an amended request for SC (Service Commercial) on the entire parcel. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) WITHDREW WITHOUT PREJUDICE the request to change the property to LI/R (Light Industrial/Research), and recommends APPROVAL of the request to change the property to SC (Service Commercial).

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 93 – GPA-0016-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, at the request of COUNCILMAN REESE, explained that the original application that was presented to the Planning Commission would have allowed, if approved, a chicken-processing component. At that meeting, the light industrial portion of the application was withdrawn by the applicant; therefore, that component no longer pertains to the General Plan Amendment, the Request for Rezoning or the Site Development Plan Review being acted upon at this meeting. MR. GENZER also added that today's agenda does indicate the light industrial because it was stated as such in the original public notice and no changes can be made. To clear up any misconception, a notation about the withdrawal is noted in bold letters on the applicable items. For those citizens who may have a concern, the City is working with the applicant on a special text amendment. The special text amendment is contingent upon the applicant furnishing vital information. Until received, that text amendment will not be presented to the Planning Commission. The applicant also agreed to hold public meetings with the residents in order for them to know exactly what is coming forward.

ATTORNEY JOHN T. MORAN III, Moran and Associates, 630 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested Council approval as recommended by staff and the Planning Commission. ATTORNEY MORAN introduced JOHN T. MORAN, JR., the architect and developers for the project, who were in attendance to answer any questions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that if Las Vegas is to be considered an international metropolis, the City should consider looking at the health policies of other municipalities to see how they regulate similar processing plants. COUNCILMAN REESE asked MR. FARLOW how he would react to a chicken processing plant located across the street from his residence.

TIM CURLEY, 111 North Lamb Boulevard, stated his concern regarding the zoning change to light industrial. MR. GENZER explained that that wording was contained in the original application and no changes can be made even though they did withdraw that request. MR. GENZER emphasized that the only item being considered is the General Plan Amendment to Service Commercial and the rezoning to C-1 to allow the development of a full service market and convenience store for beer and wine sales.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 93 – GPA-0016-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE stated that in addition to the standard notification to area residents, he wants to ensure that every resident who may be affected receives notification of the public hearing. MR. GENZER affirmed that as far as the text amendment is concerned, a public notice will be published because it affects the entire City, but because it does affect a specific area, he agreed that notification can be sent to the same people who were noticed for these items.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0016-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0041-01 - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-MHP (Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home Park) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) and M (Industrial) on 4.09 Acres on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN's: 140-30-601-010 & 011), PROPOSED USE: CHICKEN PROCESSING, RETAIL AND CONVENIENCE STORE, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for M (Industrial), and APPROVAL of an amended request for C-1 (Limited Commercial) on the entire parcel. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL (NOTE: The chicken processing portion of the application was withdrawn by the applicant at the Planning Commission hearing)

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**7**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL of the request for M, and APPROVAL of an amended request for C-1 (Limited Commercial) on the entire parcel. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK not voting**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 94 – Z-0041-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The M (Industrial) zoning requested for a portion of this site shall be amended to C-1 (Limited Commercial).
2. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0016-01) to an appropriate land use designation.
3. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
4. The Planning Commission and City Council shall approve a Site Development Plan Review application prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

5. Submit a Reversionary Map to revert the underlying lot lines to acreage prior to the issuance of any permits. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
6. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 94 – Z-0041-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0016-01 AND Z-0041-01 -  
PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0045-01 - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** -  
Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED BUILDING TO BE CONSTRUCTED  
ZERO FEET FROM THE REAR PROPERTY LINE WHERE 20 FEET IS MINIMUM  
SETBACK ALLOWED on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue  
(APN's: 140-30-601-010 & 011), R-MHP (Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home Park)  
PROPOSED C-1 (Limited Commercial) and M (Industrial) Zones, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning  
Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**7**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK not voting**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 95 – V-0045-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0041-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0041-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATD TO GPA-0016-01, Z-0041-01 AND V-0045-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0089-01 - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF PACKAGED LIQUOR FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED GROCERY STORE on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN's: 140-30-601-010 & 011), R-MHP (Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home Park) PROPOSED C-1 (Limited Commercial) and M (Industrial) Zones, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**7**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 96 – U-0089-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for the off-premise sale of packaged liquor.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0041-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0041-01(1)].
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
6. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0016-01, Z-0041-01, V-0045-01 AND U-0089-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0090-01 - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN's: 140-30-601-010 & 011), R-MHP (Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home Park) PROPOSED C-1 (Limited Commercial) and M (Industrial) Zones, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**7**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 97 – U-0090-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for the off-premise sale of beer and wine.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0041-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0041-01(1)].
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
6. The sale of screw cap wine and any size beer or wine coolers in individual cans or bottles and packaged other than in its original manufactured form is prohibited.
7. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO GPA-0016-01, Z-0041-01, V-0045-01, U-0089-01 AND U-0090-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0091-01 - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SERVICE STATION (GASOLINE SALES) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN's: 140-30-601-010 & 011), R-MHP (Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home Park) PROPOSED C-1 (Limited Commercial) and M (Industrial) Zones, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**7**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 98 – U-0091-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0041-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0041-01(1)].
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATD TO GPA-0016-01, Z-0041-01, V-0045-01, U-0089-01, U-0090-01 AND U-0091-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0041-01(1) - TOMMY J. AND BARBARA J. TERRY TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of Perimeter Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 45,309 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER on the northwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN's: 140-30-601-010 & 011), R-MHP (Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home Park) PROPOSED C-1 (Limited Commercial) and M (Industrial) Zones, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL (NOTE: The chicken processing portion of the application was withdrawn by the applicant at the Planning Commission hearing)

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**7**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

☐

**City Council Meeting**

☐

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

☐

**City Council Meeting**

☐

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

Prior to the motion, COUNCILMAN REESE questioned the waiver and reduction of perimeter landscaping. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning & Development Department, explained that because the building will be built to the property line, the building proposal meets the landscaping plans.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 99 – Z-0041-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, commented that this is the first occasion where both ATTORNEY MORAN, JR. and ATTORNEY MORAN, III were present to represent an application.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 93 [GPA-0016-01], Item 94 [Z-0041-01], Item 95 [V-0045-01], Item 96 [U-0089-01], Item 97 [U-0090-01] and Item 98 [Z-0041-01(1)] and Item 99 [Z-0041-01(1)] was held under Item 93 [GPA-0016-01].

(2:02 – 2:17)

**3-1379**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The convenience store and gasoline sales canopies shall be administratively reviewed by staff to ensure compatibility with the remainder of the shopping center prior to the issuance of development permits.
2. Approval of a Variance to allow a reduction in the setback from the north property line.
3. The City Council shall approve Rezoning Z-0041-01.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.].

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 99 – Z-0041-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. A Master Sign Plan shall be submitted for approval of Planning Commission and City Council prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for any building on the site.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 99 – Z-0041-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-41-01, on this same agenda, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.: SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING**

**- U-0067-01 - FRANK NOYES ON BEHALF OF MARINA NIMALIE SENEVIRATNE**

**- Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHILD CARE – GROUP HOME [RESIDENTIAL CHILD CARE FACILITY TO ENROLL A MAXIMUM OF 7 – 12 CHILDREN] at 621**

**Overview Drive (APN: 138-32-713-014), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B.**

**McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL (NOTE:**

**This item has been requested to be withdrawn without prejudice by the applicant.)**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**235**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**28**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3), Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01 to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - APPEAL OF DIRECTOR'S INTERPRETATION - **DB-0006-01** -

**ARC INVESTMENTS, INC.** - Appeal filed by Tony Castrignano on behalf of ARC Investments, Inc. regarding a Director's Interpretation to reject acceptance of a Variance to Distance Separation Requirements between an off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and R-4 (High Density Residential) and R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zones on 0.15 acres on the east side of "F" Street, adjacent to Interstate 15 (APN: 139-27-310-056), R-4 (High Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01 to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - **Z-0032-85(14)** - **SANTA FE STATION, INC.** - Request for a Review of Condition #3 of a Site Development Plan Review [Z-0032-85(10)] regarding the limitation of signage on northeast face of a parking garage at 4949 North Rancho Drive (APN: 125-34-801-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Information packet with building elevations and site plan

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his relationship with an executive of Station Casinos.**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has a close relationship with an executive of Station Casinos whose duties are directly related to corporate marketing for the Station Casinos. Although he does not consider this friendship would adversely affect his judgment regarding the Station Casino properties, because the application relates to marketing at the Santa Fe, he is not entirely comfortable about participating or making decisions and elected to abstain on Items 102 and 103.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 102 – Z-0032-85(14)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

RICHARD HASKINS, Vice President and Associate General Counsel for Station Casinos, 2411 West Sahara Avenue, explained that GREG BORGEL, who will represent this applicant, was detained and asked Council to delay this item until he arrived.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, representing the Santa Fe Station, distributed a packet to all Council members for their perusal. He explained that the intention to upgrade the Santa Fe is to re-brand the facility by making it visibly a Station Casinos property. This will be accomplished by installing new signage depicting the Stations' logo against back-lighted panels. The new signs will highlight the amenities available at the Santa Fe, with no intrusive flashing and will be within the height and size limitations.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked staff for an explanation as to why a recommendation for denial was made. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Department of Planning and Development, explained that the Santa Fe, prior to being acquired by the Station Casinos, functioned quite well without the use of the additional signage, and complied with the condition that no signage was allowed on the parking garage structure. He also addressed the fact that the applicant stated the need to remain competitive, yet the two closest casinos are actually in the City of North Las Vegas and are also owned by the Station Casinos. Staff felt there was no competitive issue in the area. MR. GLORE also stated that Palace Station, also a Station Casino, has no comparable signage on the parking garage.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked if staff felt this would be opening up Pandora's box within the City of Las Vegas. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT explained that based on the action taken on this application, any applicant may submit a similar request.

MAYOR GOODMAN alluded to the Neonopolis project which he felt would use the same rationale and come forward when it is time to address their sign requisites. COUNCILMAN BROWN asked about variances and controls, size and amount of signage. MR. GLORE explained that the difference between the Santa Fe application and the future Neonopolis is that Neonopolis will have a large component of off-premise signs with different standards and a different approval process. These proposed signs in terms of size are allowable by Title 19A in the C-2 zoning because the building walls are very large.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 102 – Z-0032-85(14)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

When questioned by COUNCILMAN BROWN about the appropriate number of allowable signs, MR. GLORE explained that the City Council has the authority to approve as much or as little as they deem appropriate as designated by the Master Sign Plan and likewise they are under no obligation to approve the maximum amount of signage allowed under Title 19A. COUNCILMAN BROWN was concerned about a precedent being set. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT conveyed that indeed precedent is a factor, yet it is not the determining factor. Just because one property has it, does not mean that all other properties should have it. Consideration must be given to properties that are similarly situated as well as the type and size of buildings.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, testified that the Santa Fe is a neighborhood casino and not comparable to Neonopolis as far as limitation on signage. Because of the location of the proposed signs on the parking structure she doesn't believe so much advertisement is necessary in a residential area.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, agreed with the previous comments; however, he felt that since the Santa Fe is a gambling center, the proposed signage is an attraction draw and would be appropriate.

LINDA FIONDA, 9393 West Helena Drive, said that she patronizes the Santa Fe, but she also agreed with MS. RUSKAMP'S remarks simply because the Santa Fe has been doing well.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked how these signs would attract business and make the Santa Fe more successful. MR. BORGEL explained that the previous owner did not require signs; however, because of the new parking garage, the building now obstructs the main casino structure and Station Casinos feels it necessary to erect the signs to brand it as a Station property and from a marketing standpoint, display the amenities that are available not just to entice new patrons but to attract the area residents as well. MR. BORGEL further explained that like the Santa Fe, both the Fiesta and Texas Casinos are Station properties and all are considered profit centers; therefore, all operate independently and are highly competitive with each other.

MR. GLORE responded to COUNCILMAN BROWN'S inquiry about waivers and entitlement explaining that because the original site plan review prohibited signage on the garage facing Rancho, the applicant is requesting a waiver of the prior approved condition. That condition was attached to the site plan review approved for the garage construction.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 102 – Z-0032-85(14)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Commenting on the \$70 million investment, COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that a marketing analysis most likely indicated that signs would draw additional customers. He felt that from an aesthetic point of view, it would be more feasible to strategically place the four signs further apart than as illustrated on the elevation plans. MR. BORGEL acknowledged that the signs would indeed generate more business and that business would in turn support the next \$70 million investment that the Station Casinos would like to make on the property. MR. BORGEL indicated that the applicant would welcome the condition to separate the signs and proposed spacing them equally on the east sector and spread between pillars on the north face.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 102 [Z-0032-85(14)] and Item 103 [MSP-0008-01] was heard under Item 102 [Z-0032-85(14)].

(2:17 – 2:26/3:41 – 4:01)

**3-1920/4-1713**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Any proposed signage on the parking structure shall be approved subject to the companion Master Signage Plan (MSP-0008-01).
2. Conformance to all other conditions of approval of Site Development Plan Review [Z-0032-85(10)].

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MASTER SIGN PLAN RELATED TO Z-0032-85(14) - **MSP-0008-01 - SANTA FE STATION, INC.** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN EXISTING 152,256 SQUARE FOOT PARKING GARAGE IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING HOTEL/CASINO (SANTA FE STATION) at 4949 North Rancho Drive (APN: 125-34-801-001), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Information packet with building elevations and site plan

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

- *All signage on the garage shall be equally spaced on the east sector and spread between pillars on the north face. All signage shall be framed or receive a similar aesthetic enhancement.*

– **UNANIMOUS** with **MACK** abstaining because of his relationship with an executive of Station Casinos.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has a close relationship with an executive of Station Casinos whose duties are directly related to corporate marketing for the Station Casinos. Although he does not consider this friendship would adversely affect his judgment regarding the Station Casino properties, because the application relates to marketing at the Santa Fe, he is not entirely comfortable about participating or making decisions and elected to abstain on Items 102 and 103.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 103 – MSP-0008-01

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 102 [Z-0032-85(14)] and Item 103 [MSP-0008-01] was heard under Item 102 [Z-0032-85(14)].

(2:17 – 2:26/3:41 – 4:01)

**3-1920/4-1713**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. All signage on the garage shall be framed or receive a similar aesthetic enhancement.
2. The two signs on the northeast side of the garage shall be combined and framed in an aesthetic manner.
3. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.
4. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Site Development Plan Review [Z-0032-85(10)] and all other subsequent site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0068-85(57)** - **NORTHWEST****PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a

Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of Required Perimeter

Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 18,838 SQUARE FOOT, TWO STORY OFFICE BUILDING

on 2.80 Acres located on the east side of Crimson Canyon Drive, approximately 340 feet south of

Peak Drive (APN: 138-15-310-018), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The

Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition No. 9 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

DEAN INOUE, 5090 South Valley View Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with all of the conditions, but requested Condition No. 9 relating to the 6-foot decorative block wall be deleted.

CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, acknowledged that this request is appropriate as there are no walls around any of the adjacent properties in the area. Staff agreed to the deletion of Condition No. 9.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 104 – Z-0068-85(57)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

(2:24 – 2:26)

**3-2305**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The Site Plan shall be revised to depict the provision of seven additional handicap accessible parking spaces that is in conformance with the design standards of Section 19A.10.010 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
3. The landscape plan shall be amended to indicate 24-inch box evergreen or deciduous trees spaced a minimum of 30-feet on center along both the north and south property lines. In addition, four five-gallon shrubs shall be planted in addition to the tree per the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
4. Wheel stops shall be utilized within all parking areas to prevent vehicles from overhanging into landscape areas.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened from view.
6. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 104 – Z-0068-85(57)

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

9. The applicant shall construct a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials along the interior property lines.
10. All Development shall be in conformance with the conditions from the Las Vegas Technology Center Architectural Review Committee (ARC).

Public Works

11. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Crimson Canyon Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with on-site development activities.
12. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0068-85 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0044-01 - OVSEP AND VARDAN PILAFDZHYAN** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and for a Waiver of the Required Downtown Centennial Plan Landscape and Streetscape Requirements FOR A PROPOSED 1,440 SQUARE FOOT AUTO BODY REPAIR SHOP on 0.23 acres at 1410 South Commerce Street (APN: 162-04-607-015), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB SHERMAN of Sherman Architects appeared and introduced VARDAN PILAFDZHYAN, the applicant. MR. SHERMAN stated that MR. PILAFDZHYAN currently owns an auto body repair shop and would like to construct an additional building to house a paint spray booth for his auto body paint shop. MR. SHERMAN, speaking on behalf of the applicant, agreed to the landscaping requirement for the front of the lot and said that no landscaping would be installed in the rear for lack of space.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, discussed the efforts that were put into assembling the Centennial Master Plan and stressed that Council should not deviate from the set standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 105 – SD-0044-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:26 – 2:29)

**3-2388**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Revise the site plan to indicate a van accessible handicap space that conforms to Title 19A standards, and relocate two parking spaces to the south property line.
2. Submit a landscape plan to provide two 7-foot wide landscape planters adjacent to Commerce Street, on either side of the driveway. Each landscape planter shall contain two 24-inch box trees and shrubs and groundcover that meet the intent of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guideline and Standards.
3. Revise the building elevations to match the color of the proposed building to the existing structure.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 105 – SD-0044-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

11. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Commerce Street adjacent to this site concurrent with on-site development.
12. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All driveways shall be designed, located and constructed to meet current City Standards.
14. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 105 – SD-0044-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

15. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Commerce Street adjacent to this site.
16. Obtain an encroachment agreement for all landscaping and private improvements in the Commerce Street public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0095-98(5)** - **CONQUISTADOR PLAZA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver to the one-story height limitation FOR A PROPOSED 65,700 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE COMPLEX on a portion of 8.8 acres on the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Conquistador Street (APN: 138-07-411-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK SNIPPLE, 2685 South Rainbow, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that they concur with staff conditions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN questioned the overall Site Plan and asked if the front portion would be dedicated to single story only. MR. SNIPPLE answered affirmatively. COUNCILMAN BROWN inquired whether the developer anticipates the grade to be off-centered. MR. SNIPPLE concurred that there would be a 10 foot drop off from the first pad and from the courtyard a drop of 2 feet. COUNCILMAN BROWN explained that those were concerns of the neighbors.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 106 – Z-0095-98(5)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:29 – 2:33)

**3-2556**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. This approval constitutes approval of the waiver request to the one-story height limitation for this project.
3. The remainder of this site shall be limited to one-story in height with a maximum lot coverage of an additional 13 percent.
4. Submit a revised site plan that depicts compliance to Title 19A with regard to access aisles for the handicap parking spaces.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 106 – Z-0095-98(5)

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

12. If not already in place, extend the existing sewer line in Atwood Avenue to the west side of this site concurrent with development of this site and extend public sewer along Conquistador Street to service the commercial portion of this site as required by the Department of Public Works.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
14. An update to the previously approved Traffic Impact Analyses must be approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analyses prior to occupancy of the site.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 106 – Z-0095-98(5)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

15. An update to the approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
16. Site development to comply with all previous conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0095-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(5) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHELSEA PROPERTY GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to amend or delete certain provisions of the Master Development Plan for Lot 1 of Parkway Center, generally located on the southwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01] to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0100-97(5) - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(6) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF CHELSEA PROPERTY GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 478,028 SQUARE FOOT RETAIL MALL on 39.20 acres on the southwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-710-001), PD (Planned Development) Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

**1**

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

**0**

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff has no recommendation for this item. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3), Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01] to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - MAJOR MODIFICATION - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH  
RESIDENTIAL PLANNED DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(4) - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Residential Planned Development Master Plan TO REMOVE APPROXIMATELY 40 ACRES FROM THE OVERALL PLAN AREA at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), [PROPOSED: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****12****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – ABEYANCE TO 10/17/01 with direction to the developer that they return with minimum 20,000 square foot lots from back of sidewalk – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present but had requested this item be held in abeyance.

MAYOR GOODMAN explained that all public comment would be made a part of the public record and considered at the 10/17/2001 meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 109 – Z-0016-98(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MASON HARVEY, 777 Rainbow Boulevard, explained that he was a member of the panel that created the Iron Mountain Master Plan. After many months of hard work and conflict, a compromise was reached that offered a selection of homes that satisfied a variety of lifestyles, ranging in size from 4,000 square foot lots to one-half-acre and three-quarter acre lots. MR. HARVEY noted that the residents prefer 20,000 square foot lots in keeping with their rural life styles. He also mentioned that if a variance is allowed on this application, there will be numerous other requests for such variances.

BEVERLY BLASKEY, 8020 Bradley Road, represented several of her neighbors. She conveyed that this would be the fourth abeyance request by the developer. She noted that at several meetings, the residents explained why these 40 acres are part of the 600-acre Master Planned Community and why consideration should be given with respect to the compromised solution that was worked out in accordance with the General Plan and the Las Vegas Zoning Code. COUNCILMAN McDONALD, addressing MS. BLASKEY, acknowledged her continued work since 1998. MS. BLASKEY commended KB Homes and Corina Corporation for consistently adhering to the Iron Mountain Master Plan. She further recognized KB Homes' cooperation with the residents by relocating the high school.

TIM WOODRUFF, 5150 Elvis Presley Court, recalled COUNCILMAN MACK's agreement to hold the application in abeyance for a third time, but at the same time advised that he did not want to see anything come back that was less than 20,000 square feet.

COUNCILMAN MACK concurred with MR. WOODRUFF'S comments and clarified that it is important that the developer maintain 20,000 square foot lots from back of sidewalk. He agreed to hold this application but stated that if consideration is given to 19,300 square foot lots, other developers are keeping watch and the whole plan will fall apart.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, said that trails are not shown in the applicant's proposal. He noted that in the corner is a wash and this would be an ideal setup for the trails. He proposed that City planners take a look at this project from the trails standpoint before a decision is made.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 109 – Z-0016-98(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 109 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 110 [GPA-0011-01], and Item 111 [Z-0025-01] was held under Item 109 [Z-0016-98(4)].

(1:20 – 1:26/1:26 - 135)

**3-1/3-149**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0011-01 - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural) TO: R (Rural Density Residential) on approximately 40 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive (APN: 125-12-401-001), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**14**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – ABEYANCE TO 10/17/01 with direction to the developer that they return with minimum 20,000 square foot lots from back of sidewalk – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present but had requested this item be held in abeyance.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 109 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 110 [GPA-0011-01], and Item 111 [Z-0025-01] was held under Item 109 [Z-0016-98(4)].

(1:20 – 1:26/1:26 - 135)

**3-1/3-149**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REZONING RELATED TO Z-0016-98(4) AND GPA-0011-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0025-01 - WILLIAM LYON HOMES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development – 2 Units Per Acre) TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) on approximately 40 acres at the northeast corner of the intersection of Jones Boulevard and Grand Teton Drive, (APN: 125-12-401-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are approved; or if Z-0016-98(4) is approved and GPA-0011-01 is denied; or if Z-0016-98(4) is denied and GPA-0011-01 is approved; or STRIKE if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are denied. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="14"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are approved; or if Z-0016-98(4) is approved and GPA-0011-01 is denied; or if Z-0016-98(4) is denied and GPA-0011-01 is approved; or STRIKE if Z-0016-98(4) and GPA-0011-01 are denied. The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – ABEYANCE TO 10/17/01 with direction to the developer that they return with minimum 20,000 square foot lots from back of sidewalk – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present but had requested this item be held in abeyance.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 111 – Z-0025-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 109 [Z-0016-98(4)], Item 110 [GPA-0011-01], and Item 111 [Z-0025-01] was held under Item 109 [Z-0016-98(4)].

(1:20 – 1:26/1:26 - 135)

**3-1/3-149**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSP-0002-01** - **ROME 13.75, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN APPROVED 265,100 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER AND A WAIVER OF THE TC SIGN STANDARD on the west side of John Herbert Boulevard between Buffalo Drive and Sky Pointe Drive (APN: 125-21-701-005, 125-21-801-003, 004, 012, 015, and 017), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="4"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and Amending Condition No. 1 as follows:

- *A maximum of two 24-foot pylon signs, one at each major entrance, shall be placed on the Sky Pointe frontage*

– UNANIMOUS

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner and Renshaw, represented JOHN RITTER and the Focus Commercial Group. Using the overhead ATTORNEY FIORENTINO indicated the proposed site and stated that this request is for a Master Sign Plan application. He stated that the Planning Commission and staff have recommended approval;

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 112 – MSP-0002-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

however, there still remains the issue related to the sign placement. He referenced the standards that allow 24-foot pylon signs be placed one per street frontage. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO noted that surrounding the project property there are three street frontages. He suggested that rather than causing any intrusion to the adjacent homeowners by placing a sign on each frontage, a better solution would be to take all three signs and install them along the Sky Pointe frontage road.

ATTORNEY FIORENTINO acknowledged COUNCILMAN MACK'S request to have a meeting with representatives from the northwest communities. He confirmed that as a result of that meeting, the consensus was to place two signs on Sky Pointe and one along Buffalo Drive. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO asked for a slight deviation. The applicant is willing to delete one sign from the plan and place the remaining two signs at the main driveways, both along the frontage road. He reasoned that this would be a more effective use of the signage. This placement will allow the tenants better use of the signs and it will have a positive impact on the flow of traffic by directing it towards the main entrances.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, was puzzled that a sign modification was being requested.

CAROL Le DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, stated that she is the closest residence to this project and she was concerned that the Town Center standards as endorsed are not being upheld. She stated that the original intent was for only one pylon sign per street frontage. MS. Le DUC voiced her concern that any modification that is approved would not be in keeping with the intention of the Town Center standards and would not be fair to the residents who live there and were promised otherwise.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, participated in all of the meetings pertaining to this project. In the latest meeting it was agreed that signs would be restricted to one per street frontage. She stated that we need to hold fast to the standards that were established and if modifications are allowed, that would make Town Center look like many of the other heavily commercial areas.

DOTTIE MILLER, Timberlake Community, mentioned that she knows there are several loopholes in the Town Center standards. She urged the City Council to disapprove the applicant's request to locate three pylon signs on the same street frontage.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 112 – MSP-0002-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court stated that this Center has a multitude of signs on the buildings and throughout the Center. On behalf of the residents, he urged the City Council to adhere to standards established for Town Center.

COUNCILMAN BROWN questioned whether the proposal is for three 24-foot signs on each frontage road or is the request for two 24-foot signs on the Sky Pointe frontage. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO clarified that the residents want a maximum of two signs, one to be placed on Buffalo Drive and the other on Sky Pointe frontage. He suggested taking the same two signs and putting them both along the Sky Pointe frontage. COUNCILMAN BROWN asked staff to verify what is allowed and how many signs are permitted. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, stated that the Town Center standards only allow for monument signs. He further clarified by quoting that section of the standards that free-standing monument signs are allowed at major gateway points. MR. GENZER explained that if you have two major entries, each entry would be allowed a monument sign, not just one sign per street frontage.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the residents who represented their neighborhoods and assured them that the revisions to the current Town Center standards will amend the language and address the adjacency to residential issues. COUNCILMAN MACK felt reassured that a amicable compromise has been reached between the developer and the community, especially since the initial application was for an 80-foot sign.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:32 - 2:57)

**3-2662/4-1**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If the 80-foot sign proposed under V-0035-01 is approved by the City Council, then the western 24-foot sign shall be deleted from the Master Sign Plan.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and signage elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 112 – MSP-0002-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

3. All signage shall be situated as to not interfere with Sight Visibility Restriction Zones; final sign locations shall be approved by the Traffic Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSH-0003-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend the Master Plan of Streets and Highways to realign Deer Springs Way between the Conough Street alignment and the realigned Buffalo Drive (also known as Rome Boulevard alignment), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Department of Public Works, explained that this is a deviation on the realignment to the park area. He stated that the City is eager to move forward with Phase I and the design of Phase II.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, expressed how beautiful this project is. CAROL Le DUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, agreed with MR. FARLOW and stated that the residents are very excited about this park. When questioned by MS. Le DUC about parcel 125-21-701-005, MR. ROARK explained that that piece had been cut out of the overall parcel and was approved to be sold at the Bureau of Land Management auction. He explained that the City would no longer need to maintain that remnant and it would not be a part of the proposed park. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that a modification would be made if required.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 113 – MSH-0003-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

In a brief departure from the item at hand, MAYOR GOODMAN addressed the students from Bishop Gorman, explaining to them the importance of citizens' comments during public hearings. Since there are times when corrective action is taken based on a citizen's comment, he expressed that the City Council welcomes these observations and values the input.

STEPHEN REILLY, 7000 Steeple Court, alluded to COUNCILMAN MACK'S prior comments that this is an awesome plan. He was grateful to the City for funding this project and hoped that an appropriate name would be selected. MR. REILLY mentioned that in a previous conversation with COUNCILMAN BROWN, the Councilman commented that he would hate to see this parcel piece-meal. Fortunately that was not the case, and this project will be an added benefit to everyone in Centennial Hills.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked the Council for approving the funding of this project.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 113 [MSH-0003-01], Item 138 [Z-0054-01], and Item 139 [Z-0054-01(1)] was held under Item 113 [MSH-0003-01].

(2:57 – 3:07)

**4-230**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Upon development, additional rights-of-way for dedicated right turn lanes, dual left turn lanes, and/or appropriate transition elements shall be required at the discretion of the Traffic Engineer.
2. City Staff is empowered to modify this application, if necessary, because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City of Las Vegas requirements are still complied with and the intent of the submitted Master Plan of Streets and Highways is not changed.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0018-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Petition to vacate public Rights-of-Way generally located between Moccasin Road and Log Cabin Way, west of Durango Drive, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****3****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RITA LUMOS, Department of Public Works, explained that she is currently working under an inter-local agreement to resolve property line boundaries for property owners who currently show roadways going through their houses. The purpose is to move parcel boundaries as well as rights of way to where roads actually exist. She was pleased to announce that rights-of-way deeds and transfer deeds are being prepared.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, shared her experience with trying to resolve this same situation on her property. She commended MS. LUMOS for her hard work and efforts working with the County to effect a resolution that should have been made thirty years ago. COUNCILMAN MACK affirmed that during his term as a Planning Commissioner, MS. RUSKAMP brought this to his attention. He was pleased with the outcome and thanked MS. LUMOS for resolving this dilemma.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 114 – VAC-0018-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:07 – 3:13)

4-555

**CONDITIONS:**

1. The City Surveyor shall ensure that new right-of-way alignments along the existing roadways of use for the subject roadways are established.
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0021-01 - HABITAT FOR HUMANITY -**

Petition to Vacate portions of Hart Avenue and Lawry Avenue, generally located east of Martin L. King Boulevard, north of Lake Mead Boulevard, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

THOMAS HALLUMS, 1008 Scarlet Ridge Drive, on behalf of Habitat, concurred with staff's recommendation.

DAWN BARLOW, an area resident, asked for an explanation of a certified letter she received. CHRIS GLORE, Planning Supervisor, Planning and Development, explained that this particular letter was sent to area residents to notify them of the application to vacate land that was dedicated as right-of-way for potential widening of Hart Avenue and Lawry Avenue. In the interim, the Department of Public Works determined that the land that was dedicated for the streets will no longer be needed for future widening, so the vacation would revert the land back to the property owners and be incorporated into their private parcels and no longer be City possession.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 115 – VAC-0021-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Having discovered that a portion of her property encroached on Habitat's property, MS. BARLOW was required to pay \$17,000 to purchase that piece of land or demolish her driveway and carport, which she had previously received approval for a variance to build. She understood that numerous properties in Vegas Heights have the same problem because the boundaries are incorrect. She asked that someone take a look into the matter.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY mentioned that this might just be the first of several potential vacations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE to have MS. LOOMIS of Public Works look into the realignment problem.

(3:13 – 3:18)

**4-669**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City Departments.
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 115 – VAC-0021-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0014-00(1)** - **BUFFALO CANYON, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Required One Year Review on an Approved Variance WHICH ALLOWED 40 PARKING SPACES WHERE 49 ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED FOR AN EXISTING RETAIL CENTER AND CONVENIENCE STORE on the southwest corner of Buffalo Drive and Vegas Drive (APN: 138-28-501-009), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

IVAN LEE, 2625 South Rainbow, Suite D106, represented the applicant and concurred with all conditions. He stated that this request is to expand the existing parking lot. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD remembered this application and at the time thought the parking lot might not be sufficient. She agreed with MR. LEE that no complaints have been received regarding the parking.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 116 – V-0014-00(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:16 – 3:17)

**4-834**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall be reviewed by the City Council in five years to determine its ongoing appropriateness.
2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Variance (V-0014-00).

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0031-00(1) - WILSHIRE PLAZA DEVELOPMENT COMPANY** - Required One Year Review on an Approved Variance WHICH ALLOWED THIRTY-SEVEN (37) PARKING SPACES WHERE SIXTY-FIVE (65) ARE THE MINIMUM PARKING SPACES REQUIRED FOR A PROPOSED BANQUET FACILITY at 5243 West Charleston Boulevard, Suites 2 and 3 (APN: 163-01-501-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BETH OLSEN, operator and owner, explained that she operates a reception center.

ELMER VAGAN, 3737 Pecos-McLeod, representing the property owner, stated that they have no problems with the tenant, and they asked for Council approval of this request.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if the variance only applied to this business and what happens if the business is sold. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT replied that everything depends on the use. If there were a more intense use, a variance probably would not be granted.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 117 – V-0031-00(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. VAGAN explained that it is not the property owner's intention to run this variance with the property because this is for a specific use. If the business is sold to a third party, they would request a public hearing in that regards.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 117[V-0031-00(1)] and Item 124 [U-0077-00(1)] was held under Item 117[V-0031-00(1)]

(3:17 – 3:25)

**4-893**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall be reviewed by the City Council five years from the date of City Council approval.
2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Variance (V-0031-00).



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -  
**U-0042-98(2) - RAINBOW SAHARA CENTER II ON BEHALF OF ROSE BOWE** -  
Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a second-hand dealership for the buying and selling of used hub caps at 2218 South Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-02-415-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROSE BOWE, 2218 South Rainbow Boulevard, concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 118 – U-0042-98(2)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:24 – 3:25)

**4--1091**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Compliance to Conditions of Approval for Z-0045-88, U-0042-98, and all subsequent site related actions.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0046-01 - ROESENER AND WEGNER-ROESENER TRUST** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED 44 FOOT 3 INCH TALL RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE WHERE 35 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT ALLOWED at 9811 Orient Express Court (APN: 138-31-314-008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-2-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**9**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT BUGBY, 711 South 9<sup>th</sup> Street, representing the applicants, explained that his clients intend to build a custom home in Queens Ridge North. When the plans were submitted to the design review committee, they were asked to modify the structure by putting in a pitched roof. Having agreed to the modification, the plans were approved by the committee and preparation was made to obtain the building permits. MR. BUGBY explained that this house was larger than the standard 35 feet rule, so he applied to the City for a variance. In the interim, he discovered that several of the homes in the Queens Ridge community were equal to or larger than his client's house and none had submitted height variance requests to the City.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 119 – V-0046-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, to clarify the process, inasmuch as she was in agreement with MR. BUGBY that a variance was not required. She knew of several other homes in the exact neighborhood that were approved for higher heights and did not require City Council approval. MR. GENZER confirmed that the code in the majority of residential zones denote a limit of two stories or 35 feet maximum height. However, in this case, being zoned RPD, no condition was placed on this project in terms of maximum height. He noted three other residences that are 40 feet or higher that were only required to go through the building permit process.

MR. GENZER indicated that two options are available to settle this situation; the first being to strike the item from the agenda and issue the permit or to approve the variance, so there would be no question as to determination. MAYOR GOODMAN responded by stating that if no variance is required, there would be no need to approve one.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, questioned how seven units would fit on one acre. MR. BUGBY clarified that there would be only one house on the 1 ½ acre lot.

GREG GORGIN, 851 South Rampart, a representative of Peccole Nevada Corporation and a member of the design review committee explained that all actions taken by the committee do not supersede any City Council decisions. All matters are approved based on guidelines designed by the master developers.

EVAN WISCHENGROUD, 7251 West Lake Mead Boulevard, read an excerpt from Section 19A.18070 pertaining to private covenants and deed clauses and stated that all property owners with homes that exceed the height standard should seek City Council approval.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT supported staff's explanation that a variance was not required because it is a Residential Planned Development whose purpose is as listed in Title 19A.02. Additionally, because there are no height restrictions implemented, there is no reason for a variance.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:25 – 3:30)

**4-1152**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 119 – V-0046-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments that are not affected by the approval of this Variance must be satisfied.
3. Approval and Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0017-90) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0017-90(2)].

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0057-01 - VEGAS STAR, INC.** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 45 PARKING SPACES WHERE 46 SPACES IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on the southeast corner of Sandhill Road and Spino Avenue (APN: 140-30-505-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD15 (Residential Planned Development - 15 Units Per Acre), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JAMES GRINDSTAFF, 2330 Corporate Circle, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:30 – 4:01)

**4-1669**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 120 – V-0057-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.

Public Works

3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0053-83 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0060-01 - MEGALONI, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF S.B.A. DEVELOPMENT, INC. - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 44,866 SQUARE FEET OF OPEN SPACE WHERE THE TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS REQUIRE 130,680 SQUARE FEET on the east side of Fort Apache Road, between Deer Springs Way and Dorrell Lane (APN'S: 125-20-201-001, 009 and 010), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium Low Density Residential - Town Center) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: TC (Town Center), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Tetra Tech, Inc. dated 9/19/2001

**MOTION:**

**MACK – WITHDRAW WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSSELL SKUSE, the Engineer, represented this application and submitted a letter requesting the variance be withdrawn without prejudice.

No one appeared in opposition.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 121 – V-0060-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 121 [V-0060-01] and Item 122 [Z-0048-01(1)] was held under Item 121 [V-0060-01].

(4:01 – 4:11)

**4-3067**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0060-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0048-01(1) - MEGALONI, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF S.B.A. DEVELOPMENT, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 83 LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION ON 15 ACRES on the east side of Fort Apache Road, between Deer Springs Way and Dorrell Lane (APN's: 125-20-201-001, 009 and 010), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML-TC (Medium Low Density Residential - Town Center) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: TC (Town Center), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Conditions No. 3 and 5 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSSELL SKUSE, the Architect, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 122 – Z-0048-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 121 [V-0060-01] and Item 122 [Z-0048-01(1)] was held under Item 121 [V-0060-01].

(4:01 – 4:11)

**4-3067**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The design of Fort Apache Road (a 100 foot wide Primary Arterial) shall be revised to comply with the Towncenter requirements.
3. As required by Las Vegas Municipal Code Section 19A.06.110, the developer shall provide a minimum of 20 percent of the gross site acreage of the site in open space, recreation area, pedestrian/bikeway facilities, and landscaped areas in public rights-of-way, unless a variance is approved.
4. Perimeter walls shall be in compliance with the Town Center standards.
5. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning [Z-0048-01] to a TC (Towncenter) zoning district.
6. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
7. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 15 feet to the front of the house, 18 feet to the front of the garage, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 10 feet in the rear.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 122 – Z-0048-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
10. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the rear property lines and those side property lines not along a 47-foot wide street. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

15. Dedicate, obtain dedication, or obtain roadway easement rights for the east half of Coronet Road adjacent to this site prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map for this site. Construct appropriate half-street improvements on Coronet Road, including sufficient paving east of the centerline to provide two-way traffic access concurrent with development of this site. If such dedication or easement rights can not be procured for any reason, this site plan must be revised to eliminate the proposed half-street.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed street layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first.
17. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-48-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0148-89(3) - HOOPER INDUSTRIES, LIMITED ON BEHALF OF ELLER OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Clear Channel Outdoor from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Hooper Industries, Limited on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising for a Required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot by 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign at 1712 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-33-406-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3), Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01] to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked to hold Item 123. He is currently working with the applicant and wishes to resolve the billboard issue with the landowner.

There was no further discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW RELATED TO V-0031-00(1) - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0077-00(1) - WILSHIRE PLAZA DEVELOPMENT COMPANY** - Required One Year Review on an Approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A BANQUET FACILITY at 5243 West Charleston Boulevard, Suites 2 and 3 (APN: 163-01-501-007), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**2**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BETH OLSON, the operator of this business was present.

ELMER VAGAN, 3737 Pecos McLeod, appeared on behalf of the property owner.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 124 – U-0077-00(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 117[V-0031-00(1)] and Item 124 [U-0077-00(1)] was held under Item 117[V-0031-00(1)]

(3:17 – 3:25)

**4-893**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.
2. Conformance to all applicable conditions of approval for Special Use Permit (U-0077-00).

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0031-00 - AMERICAN STORE PROPERTIES, INC. - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED ALBERTSON'S CONVENIENCE STORE on the northwest corner of Craig Road and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-01-619-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Items #61 and 62.)** The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL. Staff recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions. Staff recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01] to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM noted that a request was received to hold Item 125 in abeyance as well as related Item Nos. 61 and 62 to the 10/3/2001 meeting.

(1:20 – 1:26/4:13 – 4:14)

3-1/4-3282



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0034-01 - T.J.P. NEVADA, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF SEILER, INC. - Appeal filed by Lionel Sawyer & Collins from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by T.J.P. Nevada, Limited Partnership on behalf of Seiler, Inc. for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 40 FOOT TALL, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2101 South Decatur Boulevard (APN: 163-01-708-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – DENIED – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

**APPEARANCES:**

PAUL LARSEN, 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:14 – 4:18)

**4-3345**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0105-01 - FREMONT WEST, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF ANTONIO AND EVELIA GUILLEN** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING RESTAURANT (TONY'S MEXICAN FOOD RESTAURANT) located at 2041 North Jones Boulevard, Suite 100 (APN: 138-24-215-006), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother operates a Super Pawn located on Lake Mead Boulevard and Jones Boulevard, it would have no affect on his vote.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE EDDER, 777 Quartz Avenue, represented the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 127 – U-0105-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:18 – 4:20)

**4-3610**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council
4. All City Code Requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0106-01 - TOLL BROTHERS** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A SUBDIVISION on the southeast corner of Farm Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 125-15-701-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****8****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****4****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: List of conditions compiled by neighborhood residents.

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, representing Toll Brothers, concurred with staff conditions.

ATTORNEY NEAL GALATZ, 7350 North Rainbow Boulevard, on behalf of the area residents, submitted a list of conditions that enumerated resolutions to several areas of conflict. He mentioned that one of the greatest concerns relates to the equine trail; however, the City has agreed to amend its master plan to accommodate the equine trail, and both Toll Homes and Pulte Homes are in agreement to provide for the trail to run along the west side of Rainbow Boulevard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 128 – U-0106-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

When queried about his client's agreement to the residents' list of conditions, ATTORNEY FIORENTINO first explained that the intent of the Special Use Permit is to construct a private street within the interior of the subdivision. It meets the zoning requirements and the minimum lot size and the applicant desires to gate it. He further stated that he is aware that the list would be applicable prior to the building of the houses, where one of the conditions agreed upon was a public hearing at the Planning Commission meeting when the tentative map is submitted. ATTORNEY GALATZ rebutted that the list was agreed upon. There was not an option to selectively deal with issues as they occurred.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, agreed with ATTORNEY GALATZ'S comments.

GERALD GILOCKE, 7555 Sisk Street, also concurred with ATTORNEY GALATZ'S statements and commented that he understood the items would be incorporated into the conditions.

LOUISE RUSKAMP, 8500 Log Cabin Way, commended Toll Brothers for meeting both with the neighborhood residents as well as with the Northwest Association Board. She was pleased with their proposal for 20,000 square foot lots and delighted that this project meets the concept of the Master Plan.

COUNCILMAN MACK explained that this property was up for sale for a long period of time and there were various development proposals.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that the list of conditions, touched upon by ATTORNEY GALATZ, would be made a part of the record until such time as any future actions are required.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:20 – 4:28)

**4-3676/5-22**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 128 – U-0106-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The Tentative Map for this subdivision shall be considered by the Planning Commission at a public hearing. The Tentative Map shall be final action by the Planning Commission.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The Tentative Map application for the proposed residential subdivision on this site shall demonstrate compliance with all provisions of the Las Vegas Municipal Code applicable to private streets, including provision of a separate lot for the private streets.

Public Works

4. Gated driveways, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
5. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, public multi-use trails, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
6. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
7. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0107-01 - YEHUDA GAT AND YEHUDA LEVY** - Request for a Special Use Permit for an EXISTING CAR WASH at 110 North Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-25-404-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and Accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 100 [U-0067-01] and Item 101 [DB-0006-01] as requested by the applicant and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 107 [Z-0100-97(5)], Item 108 [Z-0100-97(6)], Item 123 [U-0148-89(3)], Item 125 [U-0031-00], Item 61 [ABEYANCE ITEM –Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-Sale Liquor License], Item 62 [ABEYANCE ITEM - Restricted Gaming License] and Item 129 [U-0107-01] to 10/3/01 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion

(1:20 – 1:26)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0110-01 - GGP IVANHOE II, INC. ON BEHALF OF CINGULAR WIRELESS** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 60 FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS MONOPOLE at 4300 Meadows Lane (APN: 139-31-510-016), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROGER SPENCER, representing CINGULAR WIRELESS and FRANK REED, General Manager of the Meadows Mall, representing GGP Ivanhoe II, Inc. both concurred with staff's recommendation.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD inquired about mall sales, and MR. REED replied that it was doing fine despite the impact of the recent world situation.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 130 – U-0110-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:28 – 4:30)

**5-295**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The monopole design shall be “slim-line”, with flush mounted arrays, as depicted in the submitted elevations.
3. The communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the communications monopole and its associated equipment and facility.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0111-01 - VILLAGE SQUARE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE PYRAMIDS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING RESTAURANT (THE PYRAMID'S CAFE); and a request for a Waiver of the minimum 400 foot separation from an existing religious facility at 9350 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 150 (APN: 163-06-816-028), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item No. #63.)** The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KIM ZAKY, 7901 Avianna Pines, concurred with conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 131 – U-0111-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 131 [U-0111-01] and Item 63 [Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License] was held under Item 131 [U-0111-01]  
(4:30 – 4:32)

**5-341**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council
4. All City Code Requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0112-01 - JAMES A. & CHARMAINE BLACK FAMILY TRUST, ET AL** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A SUBDIVISION on the south side of El Parque Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Cimarron Road (APN's: 163-04-307-001 and 002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MIKE O'MALLEY, 3401 Spring Shower Drive, representing the applicant, concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, mentioned that at a prior Planning Commission meeting the applicant was directed to remove the trash on the property. MR. O'MALLEY confirmed that the matter had been taken care of as indicated by photos taken of the property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 132 – U-0112-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:32 – 4:34)

**5-415**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. The Tentative Map or Parcel Map applications for the proposed residential subdivision on this site shall demonstrate compliance with all provisions of the Las Vegas Municipal Code applicable to private streets, including provision of a separate lot for the private streets.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) on El Parque Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with the first phase of development of this site.
4. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. The proposed gated access driveway shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 132 – U-0112-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
7. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0113-01 - CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHURCH on the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and El Campo Grande Avenue (APN's: 125-28-801-001 through 004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**8**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**9**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared on behalf of the Church.

JACK RODRUM, 7812 Quille Garden Avenue, objected to having a church placed in the middle of a residential neighborhood. He stated that this was a poor use of that property and suggested that a better location would be on a main street such as Ann Road or Buffalo Drive and not in the middle of a residential area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 133 – U-0113-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 133 [U-0113-01] and Item 134 [SD-0050-01] was held under Item 133 [U-0113-01].

(4:36 – 4:38)

**5-470**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19A.04.050 for church/house of worship use.
3. The City Council shall approve a Site Development Plan Review (SD-0050-01).



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0113-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - SD-0050-01 - CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 16,182 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH located on 2.9 Acres on the southeast corner of Cimarron Road and El Campo Grande Avenue (APN's: 125-28-801-001 through 004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**8**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**9**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JACK RODRUM, 7812 Quille Garden Avenue, appeared to voice his opposition.

COUNCILMAN MACK clarified that the maximum allowance for turf grass would not exceed thirty percent of the total landscaping.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 134 – SD-0050-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 133 [U-0113-01] and Item 134 [SD-0050-01] was held under Item 133 [U-0113-01].

(4:36 – 4:38)

**5-470**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The site plan shall be revised to depict minimum seven (7') foot wide sidewalks around the building to prevent vehicle from obstructing the sidewalks.
3. The landscape plan shall be revised to depict a maximum of 30% turf landscaping. The remaining landscaping and groundcover shall be drought tolerant.
4. The accessory structure (storage building) shall not be approved as part of this application and shall be required to meet the standards of Section 19A.08.050(B) of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
5. The site plan/landscape plan shall be amended to depict a minimum fifteen-foot wide landscape planter adjacent to the entire Leggett Road frontage and the El Campo Grande Avenue frontage.
6. The undeveloped portion of the property shall have some type of non-asphalt dust control mitigation applied.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 134 – SD-0050-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. The applicant shall construct a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines, where applicable. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

**Public Works**

15. Construct half-street improvements on Cimarron Road, El Campo Grande and Leggett Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 134 – SD-0050-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate alignment and depth to provide sewer service to this site prior to the issuance of any permits. Extend existing public sewer in Leggett Road to the northwest corner of this site along an alignment and to a location acceptable to the City Engineer.
18. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 134 – SD-0050-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

19. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
20. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine if a reversionary map will be required to record prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the City Surveyor.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0050-01 - SHEARING FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) on approximately 52.5 acres on the northeast corner of the intersection of Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 138-05-301-034, 138-05-401-001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 012, 013, 014, 015, 019, 020, 021, 022, 024, 025, 026, 027, and 028), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**0****PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 5 as follows:

- Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road, 50 feet for Fort Apache Road, 30 feet on Campbell Road north of Hickam Avenue, 30 feet *or* 60 feet on Hickam Avenue *as appropriate*, a 54 foot radius on the northeast corner of Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road, an additional 10 foot radius for a total radius of 25 foot on the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Hickam Avenue, and an appropriate radius for the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Campbell Road prior to the issuance of any permits *for this site*.

And amending Condition No. 6 as follows:

- Construct half-street improvements as appropriate including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Alexander Road. Also construct half-street or full-street improvements as appropriate (paving, curb and gutter) including appropriate

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 135 – Z-0050-01

**MOTION – Continued:**

overpaving, if legally able on Fort Apache Road, Campbell Road and Hickam Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, extend a minimum of two lanes of paving on the south side of Hickam across APN#138-05-401-002 concurrent with development of this site. The exterior streetlights on Fort Apache, Campbell Road and Hickam Avenue will be stubbed out for future use, but the installation of the streetlights shall be deferred provided that the developer provide to the City such streetlights for future installation. Alternatively, monies in lieu of such streetlights may be contributed to the City if allowed by the Department of Public Works.

And adding the following condition:

**Public Works**

- *Sign and record a Covenant Running with the Land for the possible future installation of half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Fort Apache, Campbell Road, and Hickam Avenue adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site.*

– UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was clarified by Public Works that the amendment to Condition No. 5 made in the initial motion is to be carried forward to the final motion.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that the Shearing Family has been long-time acquaintances and are his neighbors; however, he does not believe this relationship would affect his ability to vote on this application.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOBBY LEWIS, 6655 Bermuda Road, representing the applicant, stated that this request relates to open space and street frontages being vacated. MAYOR GOODMAN mentioned that neighboring residents are still concerned about the size of the lots and he inquired if the applicant had met with the neighbors.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if staff had reviewed the trails map. MAYOR GOODMAN asked him to get with Planning regarding his questions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 135 – Z-0050-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

LINDA FIONDA, 9390 W. Helena, stated that she had met with the representatives of KB Homes and was pleased with their development up and down Alexander Road. She mentioned that on the north side of Alexander Road, in the desert rural area, the homes that are within County jurisdiction proposed by KB Homes are 15,400 square feet and this was not acceptable. She asked if open space was set up, how many lots would need to be given up. MR. LEWIS said that three lots would be affected. She suggested eliminating the open space requirement which would increase the size of the lots. She stated that the average lot size is currently 16,679 square feet and she would prefer to see it closer to 18,000 square feet. MS. FIONDA wanted assurance that the lot sizes would not be decreased and, if there were any changes, the applicant would need to come back for a public hearing. She spoke of residents favoring larger lots instead of open space because there are already a number of parks.

MR. GENZER clarified that the adopted trails plan calls for 30-foot wide multi-use trails, 25 feet outside of the right of way and the applicant's plan does reflect those conditions.

COUNCILMAN BROWN mentioned that the major issue raised by the residents who live within the RMP pertains to the size of the lots. For the record, he shared that the last two subdivisions that were approved averaged 16,300 square feet for Lone Mountain Fort Apache which came in at 2.17 units per acre and at Centennial Hills along the Beltway, the average lot size was 16,000 square feet with a density of 2.2. Those were approvals in the County.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0050-01], Item 136 [V-0055-01] and Item 137 [Z-0050-01(1)] was heard under Item 135 [Z-0050-01].

(4:38 – 4:55)

**5-598**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The overall residential density is limited to a maximum of 2.00 dwelling units per acre.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 135 – Z-0050-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

4. Appropriate petition of vacation applications shall be submitted to the City and Clark County for the easterly and westerly portions of public rights-of-way for Dapple Gray Road. Both Orders of Vacation within the City and County shall record prior to the recordation of a Final Map overlying the area to be vacated.
5. Dedicate 50 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road, 50 feet for Fort Apache Road, 30 feet or 60 feet on Campbell Road as appropriate north of Hickam Avenue, 30 feet on Hickam Avenue west of Campbell Road, a 54 foot radius on the northeast corner of Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road, an additional 10 foot radius for a total radius of 25 foot on the southeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Hickam Avenue, and an appropriate radius for the southeast corner of Hickam Avenue and Campbell Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. Construct half-street or full-street improvements as appropriate including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Alexander Road, Fort Apache Road, Campbell Road and Hickam Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, extend a minimum of two lanes of paving on the south side of Hickam across APN#138-05-401-002 concurrent with development of this site.
7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Alexander Road to the western edge of this site and to extend sewer in Hickam Avenue from Juliano Road to the western edge of this site to locations and depths acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
8. A Master Streetlight plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 135 – Z-0050-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or recordation of a Final Map whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0050-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0055-01 - SHEARING FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC. - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 0.88 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 1.78 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE IS THE MINIMUM ALLOWED on the northeast corner of the intersection of Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 138-05-301-034, 138-05-401-001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 012, 013, 014, 015, 019, 020, 021, 022, 024, 025, 026, 027, and 028), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- *Elimination of the internal park and on the perimeter landscaping, five (5) feet along Hickam Avenue, five (5) feet along Fort Apache Road, and five (5) feet along Campbell Road.*

**– UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that the Shearing Family has been long-time acquaintances and are his neighbors; however, he does not believe this relationship would affect his ability to vote on this application.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 136 – V-0055-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0050-01], Item 136 [V-0055-01] and Item 137 [Z-0050-01(1)] was heard under Item 135 [Z-0050-01].

(4:38 – 4:55)

**5-598**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0050-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0050-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire in two years, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0050-01 AND V-0055-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0050-01(1) - SHEARING FAMILY TRUST, ET AL ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 105-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION on approximately 52.5 acres on the northeast corner of the intersection of Alexander Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 138-05-301-034, 138-05-401-001, 003, 004, 005, 006, 012, 013, 014, 015, 019, 020, 021, 022, 024, 025, 026, 027, and 028), U (Undeveloped) Zone [DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre)], Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that the Shearing Family has been long-time acquaintances and are his neighbors; however, he doesn't believe this relationship would affect his ability to vote on this application.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 137 – Z-0050-01(1)

**MINUTES - Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0050-01], Item 136 [V-0055-01] and Item 137 [Z-0050-01(1)] was heard under Item 135 [Z-0050-01].

(4:38 – 4:55)

**5-598**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Submittal of revised site plans indicating compliance with the required amount of common open space or approval of a Variance by the City Council for a reduction in that requirement.
2. Submittal of cross-sections for staff review of the trail located on the north side of Alexander Road.
3. Work with Planning staff on the location of all required interior open space.
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 20 feet to the garage (side loaded) or 25 feet to the garage (front loaded), 15 feet to the house; a minimum of 10 feet on the side; a minimum of 15 feet on the corner side; a minimum of 25 feet in the rear (typical lot), or 20 feet in the rear (cul-de-sac or bulb).
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.

Public Works

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed access layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 137 – Z-0050-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

8. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall comply with Standard Drawing #222a.
9. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
10. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
11. Work with Public Works staff on an appropriate street lighting program.
12. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-50-01, and all other subsequent site-related action.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-01** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [TC (Town Center) General Plan Designation], U (Undeveloped) under Resolution of Intent to C-V (Civic), and U (Undeveloped) [PF (Public Facility) General Plan Designation] TO: C-V (Civic) on the northwest corner of the intersection of Buffalo Drive and Rome Boulevard (APN: 125-21-501-002, 125-21-601-002, and 125-21-701-005), PROPOSED USE: PARK, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Department of Public Works, appeared on behalf of the City.

No one appeared in opposition.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 138 – Z-0054-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 113 [MSH-0003-01], Item 138 [Z-0054-01], and Item 139 [Z-0054-01(1)] was held under Item 113 [MSH-0003-01].

(2:57 – 3:07)

**4-230**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0025-01) to Amend (GPA-0025-01) the City of Las Vegas General Plan to allow parks within the PF (Public Facilities) land use category.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
4. The southerly 37 acres shall be designated TC-PF [TC (Town Center) PF (Public Facilities)].

Public Works

5. Coordinate the proposed alignment of Deer Springs Road with City of Las Vegas Department of Public Works and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). Appropriate Master Plan of Streets and Highways amendment and dedication may be required.
6. Coordinate with the Clark County School District regarding the location of roadways, if any, adjacent to the future school site northwest of this site. Appropriate dedications and construction may be required.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 138 – Z-0054-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Buffalo Drive and Elkhorn Road adjacent to this site and full-width street improvements on Deer Springs Road concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Department must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section of Public Works must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0054-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0054-01(1) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED PARK, LIBRARY, LEISURE SERVICES CENTER AND NEIGHBORHOOD PARK on 97.5 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Buffalo Drive and Rome Boulevard (APN: 125-21-501-002, 125-21-601-002 and 125-21-701-005), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Department of Public Works, on behalf of City.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 139 – Z-0054-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 113 [MSH-0003-01], Item 138 [Z-0054-01], and Item 139 [Z-0054-01(1)] was held under Item 113 [MSH-0003-01].

(2:57 – 3:07)

**4-230**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The lighting of the two soccer fields and twelve volleyball courts shall not extend past 10:00 PM.
2. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0054-01) to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
3. All other subsequent Site Development Plan Reviews shall be considered as Non-Public Hearings.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 139 – Z-0054-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-54-01 and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED TWO YEAR REVIEW - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0090-95(5) and Z-0036-97(2) - BECKER & SONS** - Required Two Year Review on an approved Site Development Plan Review for urban off-site improvements and the perimeter block wall located between Michael Way and Rancho Drive, north of Smoke Ranch Road and south of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-13-512-002), C-2 (General Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) Zones under Resolution of Intent to C-M (Commercial/Industrial) and O (Office), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, commented that if a block wall were constructed, he would hate to have it look like the wall on Bonanza Road. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that the conditions were established several years ago and this item is before the Council to satisfy the required two-year review.

JIM DEMARK, 5420 Mare Way, lives across the street from this site and would like to see the block wall built as soon as possible.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 140 – Z-0090-95(5) & Z-0036-97(2)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:55 – 4:58)

**5-4185**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. An Avigation Easement shall be recorded, in a form acceptable to the Clark County Department of Aviation, prior to the issuance of development permits for this site as required by the Planning and Development Department.
2. The applicant shall file form 7460-1 “Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration” with the FAA, and receive a permit from the Clark County Director of Aviation prior to the construction of any building on this site. Proof of filing and approval must be presented to the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of permits.
3. Construct the full width driveway on Rancho Drive concurrent with the first phase of development anywhere on this site, and construct appropriate on-site paving to provide for two-way traffic between Rancho Drive and each phase of development as required by the Department of Public Works.
4. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a as required by the Department of Public Works.
5. Provide to the City Collections System Engineer a sewer relocation and abandonment plan for the existing sewer lines and easements prior to the issuance of any building permits. All sewer lines conflicting with new construction shall be removed and relocated and all existing easements shall be vacated and re-granted for the new sewer lines prior to the issuance of any building permits for any buildings or structures overlying the existing sewer easements as required by the Department of Public Works. No buildings will be permitted to encroach within existing public sewer easements.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 140 – Z-0090-95(5) & Z-0036-97(2)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer. This condition supersedes condition #14 of Z-36-97 and condition #19 of Z-90-95.
7. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0090-95, Z-0036-97, the Insight Aircenter Rancho Commercial Subdivision (if applicable), and all other applicable site-related actions as required by the Department of Public Works.
8. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan (as amended by the above conditions) and building elevations.
9. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning and Development Department and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
10. A landscaping plan must first be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
11. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 140 – Z-0090-95(5) & Z-0036-97(2)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. Where new mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet to provide for transportation hazards.
15. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Planning and Development Department. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0029-01 - CITY PARKWAY IV, INC.** - Request to Amend the General Plan of the City of Las Vegas to modify the texts of the City of Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan and City of Las Vegas Downtown Centennial Plan to allow Non-Restricted Gaming and Casino/Hotel in District 4 / Parkway Center of the Downtown Centennial Plan as a matter of policy, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Planning Commission Material

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN abstaining because he is an employee of part of the partnership that is developing this project and L. B. McDONALD abstaining because she is a consultant with the University of Nevada School of Medicine and working closely with the school on building the Academic Medical Center.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LESA CODER, Director of Business Development, represented City Parkway IV, Inc. She indicated a number of changes to the original application. She first stated that Nevada Revised Statutes requires that the gaming enterprise district be 15,000 feet away from any churches or schools, so to comply with this, a number of adjustments are anticipated. Using the overhead to indicate the changes, MS. CODER pointed out a small portion to be deleted from the original 61

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 141 – GPA-0029-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

acres leaving a remainder of 56 acres. The original request for casino space will be reduced from 350,000 square feet to 300,000 square feet, and the number of hotel rooms will be decreased from the original 5,000 rooms to 4,500 rooms.

MS. CODER mentioned that Union Pacific Railroad plans to file an application. She stated that all background material is included as part of the record and all of the requests being considered comply with NRS 278 and 468 inclusive. The required neighborhood meeting was held but with only four people attending.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street. Asking about the separation between church and casino, he questioned what affect a freeway would have. MAYOR GOODMAN said that it is considered.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 141 [GPA-0029-01] and Item 142 [Z-0100-97(4)] was held under Item 141 [GPA-0029-01].

(2:18 – 2:24)

**3-2053**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE PARKWAY CENTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN  
RELATED TO GPA-0029-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-97(4) - CITY PARKWAY  
IV, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to amend the Parkway Center Development Plan  
to add NON-RESTRICTED GAMING and CASINO/HOTEL as Allowable Uses on property  
located along the east side of Interstate 15 (I-15) between Charleston Boulevard and Interstate  
515 (I-515/US-95) also know as 100 Grand Central Parkway, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning  
Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Planning Commission Material

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** abstaining because he is an  
employee of part of the partnership that is developing this project and **L. B. McDONALD**  
abstaining because she is a consultant with the University of Nevada School of Medicine  
and working closely with the school on building the Academic Medical Center.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

LESA CODER, Director of Business Development, represented CITY PARKWAY IV, INC.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 142 – Z-0100-97(4)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 141 [GPA-0029-01] and Item 142 [Z-0100-97(4)] was held under Item 141 [GPA-0029-01].

(2:18 – 2:24)

**3-2053**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

**DB 905 Scarlet Ridge Drive, DB 513 N. Yale Street, NL 2909 Millie Avenue, U-0059-96(1), U-0082-99(1), U-0045-01, U-0103-01, U-0114-01, U-0115-01, U-0117-01, U-0118-01, V-0061-01, VAC-0025-01 – 10/3/2001 AGENDA**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ADDENDUM:**

**None.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

**CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:**

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

**MINUTES:**

JOE MAVIGLIA, 4901 East Bonanza Road, shared his experience attending a recent conference on the homeless. He was disappointed that the roundtable discussions, in his opinion, were not productive, nor did they allow for an exchange of ideas among participants. He also criticized the County's purchase of New Flyer buses for public transportation.

(4:58 – 5:03)

**5-1314**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, apologized for being critical of the Albertsons' Store in their request for a slot and liquor license. He stated that the Albertsons' food chain is very community-active and has done their share of helping out and making donations during neighborhood cleanups. He also mentioned that there is a need for more animal control officers. In his neighborhood, there are problems with dogs that occur in the early morning hours; however, the animal control officers do not come to work until 8:00 a.m. Finally he expressed his concern about the School District's proposal to remove a row of homes that are owner-occupied in an effort to vacate land for an intended school. He stated that he does not understand why the School District does not get rid of the adjacent condominiums instead as there have been, over the years, many reoccurring problems with the tenants. COUNCILMAN REESE stated that he has been approached by the School District but no mention was made of taking out houses. MR. FARLOW added that he knows of residents on 18<sup>th</sup> Street that have been contacted.

(5:05 – 5:10)

**5-1494**

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, expressed his frustration regarding trash pickup by Silver State Disposal (sic) because of their disregard for published trash pickup schedules and the fact that drivers are selective as to what types of trash they will haul away. He was also disturbed about getting the runaround each time he called to lodge a complaint. CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE referred MR. GALLEG0 to CHRIS WARE, the City's Franchise Manager, who handles complaints on all franchise businesses.

(5:10 – 5:12)

**5-1629**



# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2001 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

THOMAS MARTIN, citizen of Henderson, explained that he, along with several other individuals, enjoys racing domestic and import cars and would like to form a small car club; however, they have been unsuccessful in locating a track that would be less expensive than racing at the Speedway Park. MAYOR GOODMAN explained that no action could be taken during this segment of the City Council meeting; however the City Manager's office could look into the matter and get back with him if he provided his name and phone number to CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE.

(5:12 – 5:13)

**5-1740**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD expressed his appreciation and gratitude to MAYOR GOODMAN and his fellow COUNCIL MEMBERS. He expressed how proud he was at the opening of the football fields, where several thousand people were in attendance.

(5:13 – 5:14)

**5-850**

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his anger at a senseless disruption during Council meeting that affected all of the City employees within City Hall and a large number of citizens who were present at or around the City Hall complexes. He asked that anyone knowing or having information regarding this heartless prank to please contact the authorities.

5:14 – 5:15)

**5-1878**

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:15 P.M. (5-1907)**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 19, 2001**

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES:

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN to draft a new policy and submit it in writing. (See Item No. 67)

(9:46 – 11:35)

**1-1312**